# THE OFFICE OF SECRETARY OF STATE STATE OF GEORGIA

IN THE MATTER OF: STATE ELECTION BOARD HEARING

GEORGIA STATE CAPITOL BUILDING ROOM 341
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30334

APRIL 17, 2019 9:00 A.M.

PRESIDING OFFICER: BRAD RAFFENSPERGER SECRETARY OF STATE

MARY K MCMAHAN, CCR, 2757
STEVEN RAY GREEN COURT REPORTING LLC
1579 MONROE DRIVE, NE
SUITE F, NUMBER 614
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30324
(404)733-6070

# APPEARANCE OF THE PANEL FROM LEFT TO RIGHT

Anh Le
Rebecca Sullivan, vice chair
Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger, chair
David J. Worley
Seth Harp

### ALSO PRESENT IN ORDER OF PRESENTATION

Russell Lewis, Chief Investigator Secretary of State Investigations Division

Frances Watson, Deputy Chief Investigator Secretary of State Investigations Division

Russell Willard, Senior Assistant, Attorney General's Office

Transcript Legend

(sic) - Exactly as said.

(ph.) - Exact spelling unknown.

-- Break in speech continuity.

. . . Indicates halting speech, unfinished sentence or omission of word(s) when reading.

Quoted material is typed as spoken.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAC	÷Ŀ:
Parties in consent cases	.13
Parties in letter cases	.33
Parties in violation cases	.94
Attorney General reports	175

### PROCEEDINGS

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Good morning, everyone. Welcome to the State Election Board meeting. I'd like to call the meeting to order. And the first thing I'd like to do is have the invocation and call on Senator Seth Harp to open us up with the invocation.

(Invocation)

2.2

(Pledge of Allegiance)

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Well, before we get started, I would like to have a few introductions.

For some of us this is our first meeting.

My name is Brad Raffensperger. I'm the secretary of state. I'll be chairing the meeting this morning.

For those of you who have never been to one of these meetings, to my far left is Senator Seth Harp from Columbus, Georgia who has been a board member of the state election board for several years.

And to my immediate left is David Worley who's been a member for several years.

And to my right is our vice chair, Rebecca Sullivan.

And then to my far right is our newest member, Anh Le. Anh has a tremendous history and

we're excited that she joins us as a new member. She was previously the deputy general counsel and assistant elections director for the secretary of state's office, so she brings experience to this board. Instead of sitting where you all are sitting, she's now up here. She understands how this works and we're just looking forward to Anh working with us.

So welcome aboard.

2.2

Before we move into the agenda, I would like to remember Anne Lewis. She passed away two days ago. Her visitation was last night and the service will be today. Anne was one of the stalwart -- she was an icon in the whole area of election law. And obviously she was a Republican and, you know, then we have other folks from the other side of the aisle, but I think both sides of the aisle would say that she was a consummate professional and Georgia has benefited from her work.

And she leaves behind her husband, Brad Lewis, and two boys, Kyle and Kevin. It is very sad that she has left us. We're very grateful for her contributions to society. Much as she was a tremendous attorney and tremendous public advocate, she was a wife and she was a mom and she was a friend to all of her friends that she had.

So we miss her, Godspeed and God bless her soul. Thank you.

I'd like to -- we have before us -
Members, we have the approval -- we have the minutes before you and hopefully you'll have had a chance to

review those. We have the meeting minutes from

September 11th, October 26th, and November 11, 2018.

Has everyone had a chance to review

2.2

those? Does anyone have any changes, additions they would like to make? Or do we have a motion?

 $\label{eq:ms.sullivan:} \textbf{MS. SULLIVAN:} \quad \textbf{I'll move that the} \\$  minutes be approved.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Second?

MR. WORLEY: Second.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor?

THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries.

Earlier one of our folks reached out to you and said if you have public comments, to put your name on a small card and that if you're going to be -- if you have a case before us, to fill out a sheet of paper. If you haven't done that yet and you would like to have a public comment, I don't know where that paper is -- it's over there (indicating). Kevin has

that, but please reach out to him. 2 I guess right now then if there's -- on 3 public comments, if you needed this meeting --4 typically to be opened up, you have two minutes and 5 keep your comments to what you would like to say. Two 6 minutes goes by quick. I was on the city council for 7 several years and I knew we had to be concise with what we had to say. 8 9 If those people that have any comments 10 would like to come up, and we're going to make those 11 comments from this mic or that mic (indicating). 12 And do we have anyone that wants to 13 make comments? Do we have an order? 14 Our first person for public comment is 15 George Balbona. MR. BALBONA: Could I request to go 16 17 last? I just have a lot to deal with and I want make 18 sure I get everything. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 19 Sure. 20 MR. BALBONA: Thank you. 21 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Next would be Wanda 22 Mosley if she's here. 23 Wanda Mosley, are you here? 24 UNIDENTIFIED: She's not here yet. 25 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Pardon me?

1	UNIDENTIFIED: She's not here yet.
2	<b>MR. RAFFENSPERGER:</b> Okay. And Gloria
3	Wurtz?
4	MS. WURTZ: I'm not for public comment
5	but I have a case.
6	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. Got it.
7	And, Virginia Hall, are you here for a
8	public comment or a case?
9	MS. HALL: For a case.
10	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: For a case?
11	MS. HALL: Yes.
12	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: And just to make
13	sure, Donna Melieu (ph.), are you here for a case?
14	MS. MERRELL: Donna Merrell,
15	Mr. Secretary, and I'm here for a case.
16	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay.
17	And, Marie Williams, here for a case
18	also?
19	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, I am.
20	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Gotcha.
21	So, Mr. Bal
22	UNIDENTIFIED: That's public comment.
23	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Public comment?
24	Okay.
25	Rick Hiers (ph.), are you here for

public comment?

2.2

MR. HIERS: No, sir. A case.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Case. Liz Throop? Ma'am, if you could come down here to the front where the mic should be on right now.

MS. THROOP: Hi, I'm Liz Throop.

Thanks for letting me speak today. I was a poll watcher last October and November and had an opportunity to speak to numerous citizens in Fulton and DeKalb County who were told that they couldn't vote a regular ballot in person because they -- their address was not what they thought it was. They claimed that they had not been notified of this change of address.

One of them had his address changed back to a previous address without his knowledge from 50 miles away. He drove from his new address in Oxford, Georgia to Fairburn because he felt that voting was that important.

Other people didn't have time to go to what was now considered their assigned polling place and so they voted a provisional ballot. It's possible to change the poll book online, which is very convenient, people like it, but all it takes is birthdate, county, and driver's license number. And

that information is easily available on the web. 2 Okay, we know that companies like 3 Equifax have been hacked and that that information is 4 available on the dark web. You don't need to really 5 be a hacker to do any of that. We don't know who's 6 changing these addresses, but the state could 7 partially address this by keeping a log of IP addresses of who is changing the poll book and making 8 that information available, you know, discoverable in 9 10 court cases. I think that's a very important thing. 11 I think it plays a part in voter participation. 12 (Timer sounding) Thank you. 13 MS. THROOP: 14 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you. 15 Is there anyone else here for public 16 comment? Anyone else? 17 (No response) 18 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Mr. Balbona. 19 MR. BALBONA: Two minutes, correct? 20 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Yes. 21 MR. BALBONA: I'll try to make it 2.2 short. I'm glad that you guys got around to having a 23 first meeting of 2019. It's April 17th. Thank you 24 for that. 25 I wanted to ask you if you had any

meetings last year, if you had a reason for drawing a paycheck because on the website there are zero meetings for 2018. The last documented meeting is in September -- September 20th of 2017. That's a year and seven months without any documentation of any meetings or any hearings by this board. That's unacceptable. If you're not having it, it's unacceptable, and if you're having them and you're not putting the minutes up, that's unacceptable. What do you have to hide?

I also don't like that the current

Georgia election code is just the code in annotations.

It used to be the Georgia Code Title 21 and Title 183,
the rules that govern the board sitting right in front
of me. Now, I have to go to a website through the

Secretary of State Casemaker Libra -- who the hell are
they? -- for \$25 to have the right for 365 days to see
the rules that govern you. That's seems like
obfuscation. Why is that there?

I think the people who live in this state should have a right to see the laws that govern that and this board. Anything else is unacceptable.

And in the code of conduct for this board, the first rule is honest and fair. It's not honest and it's not fair.

And, Brad, I've tried to speak with you multiple times and you've snubbed me multiple times.

That's not honest, that's not fair.

2.2

2.5

It also says to not have any conflict of interest. And all of the lies that he has been telling about the voting machines and how inaccurately expensive handling paper ballots are, clearly shows a bias and a gratuitous line and I think he should be kicked off the board just for that. And he's done it multiple times.

If you want to see a lot of lies, look at the last AJC article where he says that you can audit the paper ballots off the BMD. That is impossible. Can't be done. Why? Because of bait and switch. He's showing us a receipt with names, but the scanner is scanning a barcode which no human on this planet can read.

(Timer sounding)

MR. BALBONA: Thank you.

MS. SULLIVAN: Mr. Balbona, thank you for your comments. I do believe that the board did meet several times in 2018. If that's not accurately reflected on the secretary of state's website, I will -- we'll make sure that's it updated.

We just approved the minutes from the

three meetings that were all publicly advertised --2 MR. BALBONA: (inaudible) 3 MS. SULLIVAN: We'll make sure that 4 it's updated on the website. 5 And on the second matter, I believe 6 that the rules of the state election board are 7 published on the secretary of state's website and are available to the public, but we will look at that as 8 9 well. 10 MR. BALBONA: Yeah, I did that. 11 MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you. 12 MR. WORLEY: I also just wanted to make 13 it very clear for the record that the members of this 14 board serve without any remunerations at all. That's 15 all. 16 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you. 17 I believe we can move on to the 18 investigation report. 19 Mr. Lewis? 20 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary. 21 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have several 2.2 people here for the reports of cases today. And so 23 when we call out the case number, if you could just 24 put up your hand and Mr. Lewis will, you know, mark 25 who you are and we'll move those cases to the front of

	ıl erile
1	the line so you're not waiting here, to be efficient
2	with your time.
3	So the first ones will be investigation
4	report consent cases.
5	Investigative Case Number 2015-071,
6	City of Forest Park, possession of absentee ballots
7	and processing. Is there anyone here for that?
8	(no response)
9	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: SEB Case Number
10	2016-033 from Chatham County on qualifying. Is there
11	anyone here for that case?
12	MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, we're going
13	to continue that case. I got a late request
14	yesterday, so that's going to be removed from the
15	agenda today.
16	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay.
17	Case Number 2016-047, Clayton County,
18	candidate qualifying.
19	Case Number 2016-104, Taylor County,
20	voter registration.
21	Case Number 2016-130, Effingham County,
22	registration issue.
23	2016-142 135, Lee County, suspicious
24	voter registration.
25	2016-142 in Camden County, intimidation

1	of electors.
2	2016-143, Bryan County.
3	2016-144 in Putnam County.
4	2016-147, Lanier County, felon voter.
5	2016-148, Stewart County, notification.
6	2016-149, Baldwin County.
7	2016-158, Bibb County.
8	2016-180, Ware County.
9	2017-006, Brooks County.
10	2017-012, Glynn County.
11	2017-042, Forsyth County.
12	2017-053, City of Conyers.
13	2018-006, DeKalb County.
14	2018-029
15	MR. WORLEY: Somebody had their hand
16	up.
17	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: DeKalb County?
18	Thank you.
19	2018-029, Madison County.
20	2018-033 in Fulton County.
21	2018-045 in Clayton County.
22	UNIDENTIFIED: (off mic) She said to
23	tell you (inaudible) she'll speak (inaudible) this
24	morning.
25	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, thank you.

1 And 2018-065 in Barrow County. 2 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, earlier you did mention 2016-135 at the top of the agenda. 3 4 that case was continued as well. 5 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: That's 135? 6 MR. LEWIS: Lee County. Yes, sir. 7 2016-135 is not on the agenda for this meeting. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 8 Thank you. 9 MR. LEWIS: And might I add, too, 10 Mr. Secretary, if you don't mind, these cases are on 11 the consent agenda. So if you want to speak on the 12 case and want to have the case pulled so that we can 13 discuss that, we will. If you're satisfied with the 14 findings in the case, you can let the board vote in 15 full. 16 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do any of the board 17 members want to pull any of the cases off? MR. WORLEY: I do, Mr. Chairman. 18 19 would like to pull off Case Number 2016-104, Taylor 20 County, at Tab Number 5 --21 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okav. 2.2 MR. WORLEY: -- Case Number 2016-158, 23 Bibb County, Case 14 -- Case Number 2017-53, City of 24 Conyers, Tab Number 19; and Case Number 2018-45, 25 Clayton County, Tab Number 23.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any other cases 1 2 that anyone would like to remove? 3 (no response) 4 MRS. LE: Mr. Secretary, I would like 5 to recuse myself from all matters related to DeKalb 6 County. My husband serves on the board of elections 7 for the county. MR. WILLARD: Mr. Secretary, that will 8 mean 2018 at 006 will need to come off so it can be 9 10 voted on in its entirety so it does not have a recused 11 vote on a consent. 12 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. 13 Mr. Lewis, do you want to bring up the 14 first case for discussion which would be 104, Taylor 15 County? 16 MR. LEWIS: I'm sorry, sir, I didn't 17 understand you. 18 MS. SULLIVAN: Discuss the first case 19 on the consent agenda that we took off, which is 20 2016-104, Taylor County. 21 MR. LEWIS: There was actually somebody 2.2 here for 2015-071 which is at the top, unless you want 23 to skip down to 104. 24 Did you want to speak on the case, 25 ma'am? Or are you okay with it being voted on in the

block and dismissed? UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah, I can be blocked. 2 3 MR. LEWIS: You're good, okay. 4 And then the next was 2016-047, Clayton 5 County, candidate qualifying. Someone here -- Clayton 6 County, are they good with that? UNIDENTIFIED: She's here. She stepped 7 8 out. MR. LEWIS: Okay. All right. 9 Well, 10 I'll go ahead and present 104. 11 (Unidentified person entered the room) 12 MR. LEWIS: Ma'am, did you want to 13 speak on the Clayton County case that you're here for? 14 Or are you good with the consent agenda? 15 UNIDENTIFIED: I'm good with the 16 consent agenda. Thank you. 17 MR. LEWIS: All right. 18 This is 2016-104, Taylor County, voter 19 registration. 20 Mr. Secretary, in June of 2016, the 21 Taylor County chief registrar reported that someone 22 had submitted a voter registration application in the 23 name of Mildred Troutman Robinson, a deceased 24 individual who passed away on April 10, 2013. 25 The investigation determined that the

phone number listed on the application was owned by was Reece Robinson, the son of Ms. Robinson.

Mr.~Robinson was contacted and denied having been approached regarding a new voter registration on behalf of his mother.

2.2

Investigators obtained known documents completed by Mr. Robinson for comparison with the voter registration application. While they appear to be similar to the voter registration application submitted from Ms. Robinson, there was insufficient evidence to support that the voter registration application was actually completed by Mr. Robinson.

All attempts to contact Mr. Robinson by phone, mail, or in person were unsuccessful.

There was no evidence conclusive for us to substantiate the identity of the person that submitted the voter registration application, so we would ask that the case be dismissed.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Mr. Worley.

MR. WORLEY: Thank you, Mr.~Secretary.

Mr. Lewis, I was confused by your report because the conclusion on their potential violation says there is sufficient evidence to suggest that Reece Cameron Robinson potentially violated the statute by completing a registration application for

1	his deceased mother which is inconsistent with what
2	you just said. So could you elaborate.
3	MR. LEWIS: I would say that that's a
4	typo. There was some editing done to this narrative
5	once it was in supervisor review.
6	So based on the evidence that we had,
7	we did review that to determine try to determine if
8	he was responsible for it, but we were unable to come
9	to a conclusive finding that he was responsible. So
10	therefore the recommendation is to dismiss.
11	MR. WORLEY: Okay. Thank you for
12	clarifying that.
13	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Mr. Lewis, for my
14	point of clarification, so should the record show that
15	there's insufficient instead of sufficient?
16	MR. LEWIS: Insufficient, that's
17	correct.
18	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We'll adjust the
19	record to show that.
20	MR. WORLEY: Then under these
21	circumstances I move that we dismissed Case Number
22	2016-104, Taylor County.
23	MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second the motion.
24	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any other comment?
25	(no response)

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor of dismissing Case Number 2016-104, Taylor County, voter registration please signify.

2.0

2.2

THE BOARD MEMBERS: Ave.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Ayes have it.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{May}}$  we have the report of 2016-158 from Bibb County.

MS. WATSON: Yes. Bibb County resident Eric Russett filed a complaint with the secretary of state's office alleging that a poll worker with the Bibb County Elections Office issued him the wrong ballot style. He wound up voting in a district in which he did not live.

On November 3, 2016, Eric Russett reports that he went in to vote at the Terminal Station polling precinct in Bibb County and reported to poll managers that he received a ballot with incorrect candidates for the Georgia congressional and house district.

The poll worker, Barbara White, encoded Mr. Russett's voter access card with the ballot code from Mr. Russett's paperwork. Ms. White states that it is possible that she encoded the ballot incorrectly, but unlikely with the correct ballot code written on the paperwork.

1 Mr. Russett states that his voting 2 precinct information on the state's website is correct. The Bibb County Elections Office used that 3 same information to encode his voter access card and 5 printed that information on his absentee ballot 6 application. 7 It is possible that the card was 8 encoded with incorrect information but the investigation found no evidence to support that 9 10 possibility. We find and recommend that there is 11 insufficient evidence to suggest a violation of the 12 Georgia election code and recommend the case be 13 dismissed. 14 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Are there any 15 questions from any members? MS. SULLIVAN: I recommend Number 16 17 2016-158 be dismissed. MR. WORLEY: I would second that. 18 19 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Seeing no further 20 comment, all those in favor to dismiss the case? 21 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 2.2 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. MS. WATSON: I show the next one is 23 24 2017-53 on Tab 19 -- is that correct? -- Morgan (sic) 25 County, buying votes.

In October 2017, Rockdale County election supervisor Cynthia Welch reported that a Conyers convenience store was giving away free gas to individuals who had early voted in the November 7, 2017 municipal election.

2.2

2.5

Cynthia Welch was notified of the offer and she went to the convenience store and provided a copy of the code section 21-2-570 to the manager.

Investigations was unable to substantiate that any individual presented evidence of voting or receiving any free gas or other reward for voting for the posted offer. Candidate Ronnie Godwin's Facebook page contained the offer. Candidate Godwin denied making the post and stated that several people had access to the page to be able to make the post. Mr. Godwin would not provide the list of individuals that had such access to Investigations.

There is insufficient evidence to suggest a violation of the Georgia election code. Of note, we did send an investigator to the convenience store in plain clothes in order to -- with an "I voted" sticker to see if they would provide him with the \$5 of free gas and they said that they were not allowed to do so.

We recommend the case be dismissed.

1	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any comments?
2	MR. WORLEY: Yes. Thank you,
3	Mr. Chairman.
4	I'm concerned about this case. This
5	board has taken a pretty strong position in the past
6	on offers to buy votes. We have a situation here
7	where the candidate where this offer appeared on
8	the candidate's Facebook page, the candidate is saying
9	that he didn't post it and says that other people had
10	access to his Facebook page, but he's not providing
11	the names of those people. And I think this is a case
12	that's appropriate to be referred over to the attorney
13	general for further action.
14	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any other comments?
15	(no response)
16	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Seeing no comments,
17	would you like to make a motion?
18	MR. WORLEY: Yes. I would make a
19	motion that we refer Case Number 2017-053 to the
20	attorney general's office.
21	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a
22	second?
23	MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second that motion.
24	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. Do we have
25	any further comments?

1 (no response)

2.2

2.5

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor of referring this case to the attorney general's office please signify by saying aye.

THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?

(no response)

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries.

MS. WATSON: I show the next one as Tab Number 20, 2018-006, DeKalb County, voter registration fraud.

In April of 2018 the complainant reported that an unknown individual had altered information to the complainant's voter registration entry that reflected a false address. It was found the complainant in this case is Amanda Ptashkin. The complainant's identity was stolen and a new driver's license was issued in her name without change -- with -- changed the address. The voter registration was not opted out during the fraudulent driver's license change which initiated the change to the complainant's voter registration.

The City of Avondale Estates has been unsuccessful in identifying the suspect in this case. The driver's license change was made online and the

1	address used for the change was an apartment mailbox
2	believed to have been used due to the lack of it
3	being unsecured.
4	The victim's voter registration was
5	corrected by the county elections office and there's
6	insufficient evidence to suggest a violation of
7	Georgia election code in that the identity of the
8	suspect was unable to be identified.
9	We recommend that the case be
10	dismissed.
11	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any comments?
12	MS. SULLIVAN: I'll make a motion that
13	that case be dismissed.
14	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a
15	second?
16	MR. WORLEY: I'll second it.
17	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any comments?
18	(no response)
19	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Then all those in
20	favor
21	MS. SULLIVAN: Aye.
22	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: to dismiss it.
23	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
24	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?
25	(no response)

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}$  . SULLIVAN: Let the record reflect that Ms. Le did not vote on that case.

2.2

2.5

MS. WATSON: I show the next case to be presented as Tab Number 23, 2018-45, Clayton County, interference with primaries and elections.

In July of 2018, Clayton County elections director Shauna Dozier advised that an unknown person was arrested at a polling location for disrupting the voter process.

Mr. Michael Elmer Gilmore went in to vote at the 121 Main Street, Jonesboro location in July of 19 -- 2018. Mr. Gilmore became irate and started cursing at poll workers when the poll worker asked another person to step outside when their cell phone rang. Mr. Gilmore would not calm down, was being disruptive in the poll location.

The Jonesboro Police Department arrested Mr. Gilmore for threatening poll workers and electors that were voting. He was charged with disorderly conduct under a city code violation. As a result of his arrest, Mr. Gilmore was fined \$480 and placed on six months' probation.

All electors in the poll location at the time of the incident were able to complete the

1	voting process. Since this incident was handled by
2	local law enforcement, we were recommending the case
3	be dismissed.
4	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any members have
5	any comments?
6	MR. WORLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
7	I believe that I mean, I agree with the conclusion
8	of the report, that it is a violation of the code, and
9	I would at a minimum send a letter of instruction
10	pointing out to the violator that he was in violation
11	of the code and notwithstanding that he was punished.
12	I think I think the right thing to do is also
13	inform him that this was in violation of the election
14	code.
15	So I would make a motion that we send
16	the respondent a letter of instruction.
17	MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second Mr. Worley's
18	motion.
19	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any further
20	comments?
21	(no response)
22	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor
23	of sending a letter of instruction in Case Number
24	2018-045 please signify by saying yes.
25	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

1	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?
2	(no response)
3	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries.
4	Five voted.
5	On the remainder of the cases, is there
6	anyone that wants to speak to any of these cases?
7	MS. FALOMI: (standing)
8	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Yes, ma'am.
9	MS. FALOMI: (inaudible)
10	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Please move
11	forward.
12	MS. FALOMI: I didn't make any comments
13	for the City of Forest Park, but I have a question.
14	MS. SULLIVAN: She needs to move to the
15	mic.
16	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Please come to the
17	microphone.
18	MS. FALOMI: Okay. I did not make
19	comments in reference to the City of Forest Park, and
20	I have a comment I mean a question. I don't know
21	what the word "block" means. And I know that that
22	word, "block," is just a legal advisement but I don't
23	want to violate my rights, so
24	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Could you state
25	your name for the record.

1 MS. FALOMI: Okay, my name is Lawanda 2 Falomi and I work in the City of Forest Park. And I'm 3 the respondent to a letter that I received. And so I 4 just want to have some clarity what this is all about. 5 I'm new to this and I'm not ashamed to say I'm new. 6 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. Mr. Lewis 7 will present the case and that will probably answer 8 your questions. 9 MS. FALOMI: What does that mean? 10 MR. LEWIS: Just have a seat and I'll 11 present the case so you can understand what we're 12 doing. 13 MS. FALOMI: Okay. Thank you so much. 14 MR. LEWIS: Thank you. 15 Mr. Secretary, refer back to SEB 16 2015-071 at the top of the agenda, City of Forest 17 Park, absentee ballot. 18 On October 22, 2015, the secretary of 19 state's office -- investigations office distributed a 20 complaint in reference to absentee ballot possession 21 and the illegal rejection of absentee ballots from the 2.2 November 3, 2015 municipal election in the City of 23 Forest Park. 24 Ms. Falomi -- Lawanda Falomi dropped 25 off a number of absentee ballots at the City of Forest

Park elections office on November -- excuse me,
October 19, 2015. She was then contacted by the
elections supervisor, Ms. Wright, who told her she had
to pick up the ballots and return them to the voters
for them to submit.

2.2

Ms. Falomi advised that she had met the voters while campaigning for a candidate. The voters were elderly and she states that she was asked by them to pick up the completed absentee ballots and deliver them because they were unable to do so for themselves. Once they completed the ballots, they would call her and she would go by and pick them up and take them to the elections office.

In June -- June 15, 2016, the attorney general of the state of Georgia issued an official opinion stating that it's his opinion on whether the mailing of another person's absentee ballot constituted a violation under O.C.G.A. 21-2-385(a) or O.C.G.A. 21-2-574. In the attorney general's opinion, the mere possession of another's absentee ballot does not constitute a violation for either statute.

So in regards to that opinion and in this case because she was merely bringing the ballots, the ballots were then taken back out and mailed to the elections office, we have not found there was

1	sufficient evidence to cite a violation. We recommend
2	the case be dismissed.
3	MS. FALOMI: Thanks.
4	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any other people
5	that would like to speak to any other case that's been
6	consented to?
7	(no response)
8	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: So, Mr. Lewis,
9	you've done the presentation, ready for a motion and
10	for the board to dismiss these, the remaining cases?
11	MR. LEWIS: Yes, sir. The remaining
12	consent cases that we vote on the block, that were not
13	spoken spoken to this morning and pulled from the
14	agenda, we recommend those be dismissed and voted on
15	by the board.
16	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a
17	motion?
18	MS. SULLIVAN: I'll make a motion that
19	we dismiss the remaining cases on the consent agenda.
20	MR. WORLEY: I would second that.
21	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have any
22	other comments? Any board members? If not, call the
23	question. All those in favor?
24	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
25	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?

1 (no response) 2 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 3 Now, to the next section of cases --4 letter cases. I'll call out the numbers and if 5 there's anyone here that wants to speak to those 6 cases, put your hand up where we can move you to the 7 front of the line. Case Number 2015-081, City of Hiawassee 8 9 in Towns County, improper assistance. 10 Case Number 2015-092 in Houston County, 11 vote buying. 2015-097, City of Ellijay in Gilmer 12 13 County, ballot format. 14 Case Number 2015-099, City of East 15 Point in Fulton County, restrictions on campaigning. 16 Case Number 2016-005 in the city of 17 Walthourville in Liberty County for election 18 miscellaneous. 19 Case Number 2016-032, Coffee County, 20 qualifying (indicating). 21 Case number 2016-048 in Mitchell 22 County, gift to register. 23 2016-066 in Franklin County for poll 24 conduct. 25 2016-081 in Randolph County for

1	tabulation and reporting problems (indicating).
2	2016-082 in Glynn County for poll
3	opening.
4	2016-083 in DeKalb County for vote
5	buying.
6	2016-092, Ware County for a recount
7	notice (indicating).
8	2016-100 in Wilkes County for poll
9	conduct.
10	2016-115 in Randolph County for
11	absentee ballot issue (indicating).
12	2016-125 in Lamar County for the GEMS
13	Server.
14	2016-128 in the city of Tarrytown in
15	Montgomery, qualifying fee.
16	MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, we would
17	mark that and pull it for discussion and presentation.
18	One of the respondents in that case has passed away.
19	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 2016-136, Chattooga
20	County, prohibition on photography (indicating).
21	2016-137 in Bartow County, prohibition
22	on photography.
23	2016-139 in Fulton County, prohibition
24	(indicating).
25	2016-153 in Clarke County, prohibition

1	on photography.
2	2016-160 in Newton County, prohibition
3	on photography (indicating).
4	2016-167 in Cherokee County,
5	prohibition on photography.
6	2016-169 in Oconee County, prohibition
7	on photography.
8	2016-172, restaurant vote offers, vote
9	buying.
10	2017-018 in Ware County, voter
11	certificates (indicating).
12	2017-038, Cobb County, prohibition on
13	photography.
14	And 2017-062, City of Milton in Fulton
15	County, vote buying (indicating).
16	2018-043, Hall County, tabulation
17	(indicating).
18	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, Mr. Lewis,
19	hopefully you got all of those.
20	MR. LEWIS: All right, sir. The first
21	one I have marked is SEB 2015-099, City of East Point,
22	restrictions on campaigning.
23	In this case, Marie William (sic)
24	reported that there in the East Point general
25	municipal election on April 3rd, city council

candidate Thomas Calloway repeatedly entered and 2 exited the Jefferson Park Recreation Center and voting precinct. Ms. Williams also reported that 3 Mr. Calloway escorted voters into the hundred and 5 fifty feet -- foot "no campaigning area," and 6 distributed candy to voters. Witnesses confirmed Mr. Calloway was 7 8 observed entering and exiting the polling precinct numerous times on election day for the purpose of 9 10

finding out the number of votes cast. Each time
Mr.~Calloway would turn his shirt inside out.
Mr.~Calloway stated he voted early and that precinct
he was campaigning at on election day was not his
voting precinct. It was a separate precinct.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

There was no substantiated information available from witnesses to confirm that he was campaigning within a hundred and fifty feet or distributing candy to the voters.

We would recommend Thomas Calloway, candidate for city council, be issued a letter of instruction for the listed violations.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Comment? Is there
someone that would like to speak to this?

MS. WILLIAMS: I would.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: If you would come

forward.

2.2

MS. WILLIAMS: (complies)

 $$MR.\ RAFFENSPERGER\colon$$  Could you state your name for the record.

MS. WILLIAMS: My name is Marie
Williams, Marie Terry Williams, and I am one -- I -- I
am the candidate. And what I would like to speak to
that I heard on these cases that you guys have here -because this my first time coming to this kind of
gathering. In East Point, with my candidate -- when I
was running, I -- this was not my first time in the
county that I entered an election in East Point,
because I actually ran three times in East Point.

And each and every time that I ran for Ward B in East Point, I've had a situation with the --with the other candidates going to the polls. I've had a situation as to where my campaign signs were stolen. They even took them as far as to the superior court and they came back and got arrested. I also had another candidate the first time I ran, she went into the polls.

And the last time I didn't come here because I didn't understand and I still don't understand how it's done. But I've heard all of these cases and all of the cases being dismissed. I came

here because I do not want them to be dismissing this
Thomas Calloway because the City of East Point and the
way you guys gave the City of East Point the
opportunity to run an election, and East Point, the
city clerk is brand-new there. Everything is
brand-new to her. But then things happen.

2.2

And then the polls -- the polls are being ran with the poll workers, with the managers you guys are sending down there. I think you guys need to actually have these managers -- y'all need to educate these people when they vote.

I worked hard on that election. I paid for my election myself. And for them to do me like they do me in that city, I figured you guys up there on that board -- that you guys need to push for more about each and every election, whether it's for city, state, or county because I went through a lot in East Point. It is so much going on in those elections. You know, people -- deprivation of character, I've been -- they talking about and telling candidates don't vote for me. Candy being given out.

When I hear these cases you guys got in here, well, dismiss that, dismissed this, I'm here to tell you guys, it's a lot of dirt going on in these elections.

(Timer sounded)

MS. WILLIAMS: And I ran down here to tell you something needs to be done with East Point, something really needs to be done. It's a small city and I think you guys can actually -- I don't know how to say it -- take it back. Don't let that small city with all that corruption do that because the residents -- they want more. And half of the residents in East Point, they're baby boomers. We have about 35,000 people. They're baby boomers. They don't know. Half of them don't even know there is an election.

So you sit up on the board -- I'm talking about in East Point, they sit there on the board and, you know, they pick certain people to go out and say, okay, okay then, we're going to vote. But you got 35,000 people.

In Ward B -- we're in sections. In Ward B it's like out of 35,000 people, Ward B you've got over -- only 3,000 votes. Something is wrong with the election system here. And --

MR. KOVAL: (inaudible)

MS. WILLIAMS: -- and -- my time's up?
I'm so sorry. Thank you guys for letting me speak.
Thank you so much.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you for your 1 2 comments. 3 MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Is there anyone 4 5 else that would like to speak to this case? 6 MR. CALLOWAY: My name is -- my name is 7 Thomas Calloway. Thank you, Mr. Secretary and board members, for allowing me to speak today. This case, I 8 9 have -- I have worked as --10 MR. KOVAL: (handing microphone) MR. CALLOWAY: I'm sorry. Is this 11 12 better? 13 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Yes. 14 MR. CALLOWAY: Okay. So my name is 15 Thomas Calloway. Mr. Secretary and board members, for 16 allowing me to speak today, thank you for that. 17 In this election, this was my first 18 election. I had worked as a -- on several campaigns 19 before. There were several allegations made that were 20 unfounded if you would read the report. But the 21 ones -- section D of the election code, which 22 specifically speaks to candidates, I had adhered to 23 the rules as I thought they were at the time from 24 working on other campaigns. I was unaware of section 25 D, which specifically talks about candidates entering

a polling place.

Any time I went in, I did take off campaign material, I did not speak to anyone. But ignorance of the law is no excuse nor is it a defense. So I take full responsibility for my actions and I realize that going forward that section D is there and I will adhere to that.

More importantly what I've learning is an elected official -- it is a position of power and the decisions you make affect individuals, but more importantly, it's a position of responsibility and it behooves you to not only rely upon anecdotal evidence or what you think to be correct but it behooves you to read the law thoroughly and thoroughly understand what is written.

Not only has my experience as an elected official taught me that, but this instance -- excuse me, this instance in particular has taught me that it is my responsibility, not only as an elected official but as a -- someone who's campaigning to know exactly what I'm doing. And I take that responsibility very seriously and going forward I'll be sure to make sure my behavior adheres specifically to the written law. Thank you.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any comment?

Members, would you like to speak to this? 2 MR. WORLEY: I would. Thank you, 3 Mr. Chairman. 4 The investigative office has 5 recommended a letter of instruction in this case. 6 my opinion, we need to hold candidates to a higher 7 standard than we hold voters or campaign workers or others. And I do not think that a letter of 8 instruction alone is sufficient in a case where a 9 10 candidate has, even through ignorance, violated the 11 law by going into a polling place several times on 12 election day. And there's really not any dispute 13 about that. 14 So I believe that we should refer this 15 to the attorney general for the negotiation of a fine 16 of some sort for this violation, rather than a letter 17 of instruction. And I would make that motion. 18 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have a motion. 19 Do we have a second? Do we have a second? 20 (no response) MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion fails for 21 22 lack of second. 23 MS. SULLIVAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll make 24 a motion that we issue a letter of instruction in that 25 case.

1 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a 2 second? 3 MRS. LE: I'll second it. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any further 4 5 discussion? 6 (no response) 7 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, all those in 8 favor of the motion for a letter of instruction please 9 signify by saying aye. 10 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those opposed? 11 12 MR. WORLEY: No. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 13 14 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, the next 15 case that I have marked is 2016-032, Coffee County, 16 qualifying. 17 The Coffee County election supervisor 18 reported to the secretary of state's office that on 19 Monday, March 7, 2016, the Republican Party did not 20 have all of the necessary paperwork and did not begin 21 their qualifying until 10 a.m. when they should've 22 started at 9 a.m. that morning. 23 Election supervisor Misty Hayes 24 reported that the Republican Party was not ready at 25 9:00 and the qualifying did not start until 10.

1 Dennis O'Connor and William Paul Hersey 2 with the Coffee County Republican Committee reported 3 the qualifying forms were misplaced and it caused a 4 delay of 15 to 20 minutes. All candidates were able 5 to qualify. No one was prevented from doing so as a 6 result of the delay. 7 We would recommend the Coffee County Republican Committee, Dennis O'Connor, William Paul 8 9 Hersey be issued letters of instruction for the listed 10 violation. 11 MR. RAFFENSPERGER:

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace.$  RAFFENSPERGER: I believe they have someone here to speak to that.

Please come forward, please. Please state your name for the record, please.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. HALL: Yes. Good morning. My name is Virginia Hall. I'm here on behalf of Coffee County. My law firm, Hall Booth Smith, represents Coffee County.

Everything that was previously stated is correct as far as it's been explained to me. I'm just here to confirm that a letter of instruction is going to be issued.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you.

MS. HALL: Thank you.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a motion

or a discussion? 2 MS. SULLIVAN: I'll make one that a 3 letter of instruction be issued in Case Number 4 2016-032, Coffee County. 5 MR. WORLEY: Second. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All in favor? 6 7 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 8 Any opposed? 9 (no response) 10 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 11 Mr. Lewis. 12 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, the next 13 case that I have marked is SEB 2016-081, Randolph 14 County, tabulation. It's Tab 33 in your books. 15 There were two complaints regarding 16 this election in Randolph County. The secretary of 17 state's office, elections division, reported that 18 Randolph County had repeatedly failed to followed 19 instructions on procedures that must be met before 20 leaving on election night. 21 Randolph County election superintendent 2.2 Sarah Thompson -- excuse me, Sandra Thompson left 23 without confirming that her uploads and numbers were 24 correct in the May 24, 2016 general primary. 25 The second allegation in this case had

to do with a gentleman named Harold Piper, a candidate for the Randolph County sheriff's race, in May of that year as well. Mr. Piper believed that there could be -- could be some issues with absentee ballots in this election and what he called "false ballots" that should be counted -- that shouldn't be counted, excuse me.

2.2

Regarding Allegation 1, Ms. Thompson sent an incorrect election night report, made a change in the GEMS Server the SOS asked for, but then went home before confirming the change had been completed correctly. Ms. Thompson could not be reached until the following day to address the outstanding issue.

It was also noted that during the March 2016 presidential preference primary,

Ms.~Thompson went home prior to receiving final approval from the elections division.

Regarding Allegation 2, the investigation did not reveal any evidence to support violation of the election code regarding any of the absentee ballot processes. Mr. Piper couldn't provide any proof to support his allegation that there was -- it was apparently based on hearsay.

We would recommend the Randolph County Board of Elections and Sandra Thompson, elections

superintendent, be issued letters of instruction for 2 the listed violation. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: I understand 3 4 there's some people here to speak to this case. 5 If you would come forward, please. Ιf 6 you would state your name for the record, please. 7 MR. LAMAR: Good morning. Gary Lamar 8 on behalf of Randolph County. And we do agree and confirm the allegation that the investigator has 9 10 announced on the record and we have taken steps to 11 remedy those issues. Sandra Thompson is no longer 12 employed by Randolph County and we would accept then 13 to comply with any directives from the board. Thank 14 you. 15 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Anyone else need to 16 speak on this? 17 (no response) MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Members, do you 18 19 have any comments? Any questions? Do we have a 20 motion? 21 MS. SULLIVAN: Mr. Chair, I recommend 22 that a letter of instruction be issued in the case, 23 2016-081, Randolph County. 24 MR. WORLEY: Second. 25 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any discussion?

1 (no response) 2 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor 3 of a letter of instruction to be issued. THE BOARD MEMBERS: 4 Aye. 5 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed? 6 (no response) 7 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, the next 8 case that I have is 2016-092, Ware County, recount 9 10 vote. This is Tab 36 in your books. 11 In June of 2016, the secretary of 12 state's office received a complaint from Sarah Thrift 13 stating that she was never notified in writing of a 14 recount that was conducted for the Ware County 15 commissioners race in which she was a candidate in the 16 May of 2016 general primary. 17 Ware County election supervisor Betty 18 Gillis advised that the results of the county 19 commission race were very close, so they decided to 20 have a recount. Betty Gillis advised that candidates 21 were notified in person, but they were not notified in 22 writing. 23 The recount was approved through the 24 board -- county board of elections and she notified

the candidates as they came into the office for

25

paperwork that the recount would be the following Friday.

2.2

We would recommend Ware County Board of Elections and Registration as well as Betty Gillis, Ware County supervisor, be issued letters of instruction for violation of the procedures for recount.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: I understand there's people that would like to speak. Please come forward.

MS. HALL: (complies)

 $$MR. \ RAFFENSPERGER: \ And if you would state your name for the record.$ 

MS. HALL: Yes, sir. Good morning again. Virginia Hall, here on behalf of Ware County and here on behalf of the election supervisor Betty Gillis.

She did inform Ms. Thrift verbally that there would be a recount and therefore was under the mistaken assumption that she did not have to provide written notice. She now knows that henceforth she will be providing written notice as well as verbal notice.

And I'm just here to confirm that there will be a letter being sent out to that effect.

1 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you. 2 Members, do you have any comments or a 3 motion? 4 MS. SULLIVAN: I move that a letter of 5 instruction be issued in the case, 2016-092, Ware 6 County. 7 MR. WORLEY: Second. 8 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any comments? 9 (no response) 10 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor? THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 11 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Anyone opposed? 12 13 (no response) 14 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 15 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, the next 16 case that I have is SEB 2016-115, Randolph County, 17 absentee ballot issue, Tab 38 in your binders. 18 In June of 2016, complainant Erica 19 Ferguson reported that when she went to the polls she 20 was not allowed to vote because the system showed that 21 she had voted absentee. 2.2 Ms. Ferguson states that she had not 23 voted in the July 26, 2016 primary run-off election. 24 So she contacted the county election supervisor. 25 advised the election supervisor informed her that she

could vote a provisional ballot, but she was concerned it might be thrown out.

2.2

During the investigative process, we found that Ms. Ferguson was given credit for early advanced voting on July 22, 2016 even though she was not the person that came in to vote. The entry in the system for credit was entered by the election supervisor Ms. Thompson. There was no entry on the early voters list, numbered list, for Erica Ferguson on July 22nd, so she was absent from that list.

It appears that Erica Ferguson was selected in the system by human error when Ms. Thompson was processing another elector on July 22, 2016. Ms. Ferguson was given a provisional ballot and after a further review it was determined she had not early-voted in that election and was given credit for a provisional ballot.

We would recommend the Randolph County election -- board of elections and registration and Ms. Thompson, the election supervisor, be issued letters of instruction for the listed violation.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$  . RAFFENSPERGER: Is there someone to speak to this?

MR. LAMAR: (raising hand)

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Come forward,

1	please.
2	MR. LAMAR: Good morning again. Gary
3	Lamar on behalf of Randolph County. Again, we're
4	taking steps to remedy those issues, and we agree with
5	the investigator's findings and conclusions. Thank
6	you.
7	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you.
8	Members, any motions or any comments?
9	MR. WORLEY: I make a motion that we
10	issue a letter of instruction in Case Number 2016-115.
11	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a
12	second?
13	MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second it.
14	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any discussion on
15	the motion? Seeing none, all those in favor of
16	issuing a letter of instruction in Case 2016-115,
17	Randolph County, please say aye.
18	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
19	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?
20	(no response)
21	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries.
22	Mr. Lewis.
23	MS. WATSON: No, no.
24	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Sorry.
25	MS. WATSON: That's okay. The next

case is Tab Number 40, Montgomery County, City of Tarrytown.

2.2

In September of 2016 the secretary of state's office received a complaint from Mae Warnock concerning the City of Tarrytown failing to impose the qualify fees for mayor and council members by the February 1, 2016 deadline.

Investigation shows Mayor Lynette

Coleman of Tarrytown stated it was an oversight that
qualifying fees were not imposed by February 1, 2016.

The posting was published in August of 2016, and there
was no response for anyone to qualify. Montgomery

County election supervisor Rubie Nell Sanders posted
the qualifying again in October of 2016.

We recommend the City of Tarrytown -mayor and council -- be issued a letter of instruction
for the listed violation, and Lynette Coleman, the
former mayor of Tarrytown, be dismissed as a
respondent as she has passed away.

We did receive an e-mail from a volunteer in the city who states that they would not be attending due to the cost. They only have 38 citizens in the city of Tarrytown and they advise that they are working diligently to try to resolve the issue going forward.

1 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. Since we 2 have no one to speak to this in our audience, do we 3 have a motion from the board? 4 MS. SULLIVAN: I'll make a motion to 5 accept the recommendation and issue a letter of 6 instruction to the City of Tarrytown -- mayor and 7 council -- and remove Ms. Coleman as a respondent. MR. WORLEY: I will second that motion. 8 9 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any discussion on 10 the motion? Seeing none, all those in favor signify 11 by saying aye. 12 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 13 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed? 14 (no response) 15 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 16 MS. WATSON: The next case that I show 17 is Tab Number 43, 2016-139, Fulton County, prohibition 18 on photography. 19 In this complaint in October of 2016, 20 the Fulton County Elections Office reported that the 21 Facebook page with Brett Phillip Hulst displayed a 2.2 photograph of Mr. Hulst's electronic ballot with the 23 face of the DRE in it. 24 Fulton County also reported that a Mark 25 Paul Lachiewicz utilized a photographic device inside

the poll at the North Fulton Annex after being instructed not to do so by the poll worker.

2.2

Investigation showed that Brett Hulst early-voted at the Georgia Tech polling station at 177 North Avenue on the same date the photo with the ballot appeared on his Facebook page. Mr. Hulst was contacted and did not admit to taking or posting a photograph but stated he would remove any reference to his ballot from his Facebook page.

Poll manager Charlesetta Gibson observed Mark Lachiewicz pull out his cell phone in front of the DRE and appeared to take a photograph of the DRE screen. Mr. Lachiewicz admitted to taking the photograph and stated that once he was confronted by poll workers that he deleted the photograph.

Mr. Lachiewicz advised he was not aware that it was a violation although he states he was cautioned upon entering the poll.

We recommend Brett Hulst and Mark Lachiewicz be issued a letter of instruction for  $21-2-413\,(\mathrm{e})$  .

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$  . RAFFENSPERGER: I believe we have someone to speak to this case.

Please state your name for the record.

MR. HULST: My name is Brett Hulst, and

I'm just here to be present and answer your questions if there were any, but no statement.

2.2

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}$  . RAFFENSPERGER: What is the ruling of the committee?

MR. WORLEY: Mr. Chairman, I know that the investigators have recommended a letter of instruction to be issued in this case or two letters of instruction. I would support a letter of instruction to Mr. Hulst but not to Mr. Lachiewicz and for reasons that are -- can be clear as we discuss other cases.

I think it is one thing for a voter to be enthusiastic about voting, sometimes for the first time, and to take a photograph. But there are a number of cases that we have today where a person was instructed by a poll manager not to take a photograph or not to use a cell phone and then they went ahead and did it anyway. And I think in those cases something more than a letter of instruction is needed because, in effect, those — those people already got an oral letter of instruction from a poll manager and ignored it.

So in those cases, I would suggest that we refer the case over to the attorney general for the negotiation of a cease-and-desist order and a fine of

1 some amount. 2 So in this case, I would move to send 3 the letter of instruction to Mr. Hulst and refer 4 Mr.~Lachiewicz over to the attorney general's office. 5 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a 6 motion? Do we have a second? 7 MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second the motion. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 8 We have a motion and a second. Do we have discussion on the motion? 9 10 (no response) I'll call the 11 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 12 question. All those in favor? 13 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 14 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any of those 15 opposed? (no response) 16 17 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 18 MS. WATSON: The next case I have is 19 Tab 46, 2016-167, Cherokee County, prohibition on 20 photography. 21 In November of 2016, Cherokee County 2.2 election superintendent Kim Stancil reported that 23 Valerie Hamby photographed her absent -- her 24 electronic ballot while early-voting at the Ball 25 Ground advance voting station.

Poll workers at the Ball Ground advance voting station in Cherokee County advised voters in line, warning of the prohibition of the use of cell phones at the polling location. Valerie Louise Hamby stated while she was waiting in line that she was checking her phone, and she was told that she could not use her phone in the polling location. She told the poll workers that she was going to do what she wanted.

Valerie Hamby was then observed by poll workers at the DRE machine while voting to have her cell phone in her left hand and operated the DRE machine with her right hand. Several more workers observed Ms. Hamby and stated she appeared to be using her cell phone to take a photograph of the DRE screen.

We're recommending Valerie Louise Hamby be issued a letter of instruction for the listed violation.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: I believe we have someone to speak to this case.

If you would come forward please and state your name for the record, please.

MS. HAMBY: I'm Valerie Hamby. So, yes, I -- I had it out. I'm an anxious person and it's a fidget device. And I didn't have any pictures

or anything. It's just -- I flip constantly. It's just a stressful situation, so it's -- so I -- I'm here -- the lady -- the lady at the poll -- there was probably about ten of us in -- in the atrium and all of us were fidgeting with our phones and -
MR. HARP: Ma'am, you're soft-spoken,

MR. HARP: Ma'am, you're soft-spoken, could you please hold the mic close.

MS. HAMBY: Okay. And we -- we were all fidgeting with our phones and for some reason she -- I don't know if I appeared nervous or what but she singled me out. And I looked at the front, looked at the back, I mean, there was people doing the same exact thing. So I had already had it in my head to, you know, put it away when I actually got into the room, and then, you know, her coming up and doing that, it just got way anxious. Like at this point, I'm -- the last time that I went to vote, I had just -- I actually had a panic attack when I got back to my car.

And I actually came because I don't know the -- the authorities or -- or what to do to get one of those permission for absentee because I don't think I can go back anymore. And it's just -- it's just me. It's just my anxiety, it's my issue.

So I'm just here for any questions.

1	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you, ma'am.
2	Any questions?
3	MS. SULLIVAN: Ms. Hamby, thank you for
4	coming today. So just to clarify, so you did not take
5	any pictures
6	MS. HAMBY: No, ma'am.
7	MS. SULLIVAN: at all.
8	MS. HAMBY: I flip it, and, you know,
9	stuff goes off. I don't know but I didn't have any
10	any pictures or anything like that, so I don't know.
11	I have stuff in here now that's it just comes up,
12	so it's it's just what I do.
13	MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you.
14	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you, ma'am.
	<u> </u>
15	Members?
15 16	Members?  MR. WORLEY: Well, given that Ms. Hamby
16	MR. WORLEY: Well, given that Ms. Hamby
16 17	MR. WORLEY: Well, given that Ms. Hamby has stated given that Ms. Hamby has stated that she
16 17 18	MR. WORLEY: Well, given that Ms. Hamby has stated given that Ms. Hamby has stated that she didn't take any pictures, I would move to dismiss the
16 17 18 19	MR. WORLEY: Well, given that Ms. Hamby has stated given that Ms. Hamby has stated that she didn't take any pictures, I would move to dismiss the case.
16 17 18 19 20	MR. WORLEY: Well, given that Ms. Hamby has stated given that Ms. Hamby has stated that she didn't take any pictures, I would move to dismiss the case.  MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second that.
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WORLEY: Well, given that Ms. Hamby has stated given that Ms. Hamby has stated that she didn't take any pictures, I would move to dismiss the case.  MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second that.  MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any discussion?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WORLEY: Well, given that Ms. Hamby has stated given that Ms. Hamby has stated that she didn't take any pictures, I would move to dismiss the case.  MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second that.  MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any discussion?  (no response)
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WORLEY: Well, given that Ms. Hamby has stated given that Ms. Hamby has stated that she didn't take any pictures, I would move to dismiss the case.  MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second that.  MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any discussion?  (no response)  MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor

1 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?
2 (no response)
3 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries.

MS. WATSON: The next case I show is Tab Number 29, 2017-018, Ware County, voter

6 certificates.

2.2

Ware County election supervisor Betty
Gillis reported that poll workers at one of her
precincts used voter registration applications in lieu
of voters certificates when electors came in to vote
in an election on March 24, 2017.

Investigation revealed that poll workers at the Emerson Park Volunteer Fire Station precinct used voter registration applications instead of voter certificates to sign in electors. The error was discovered when the precinct paperwork was submitted after the close of poll. There were a total of 31 electors who completed the VRA instead of the voter certificate. Poll workers knew it was an incorrect form but assumed they did not have the voter certificates available. No one questioned the poll manager about if the voter certificates were in fact available at the precinct and returned unused.

We recommend the Ware County Board of Election and Registration; James D. Lang Jr., poll

1	manager; Vivian Reid, poll worker; Carolyn Towns, poll
2	worker; and Ebony Palmer, poll worker be issued
3	letters of instruction for the listed violation.
4	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: I believe there's
5	someone here to come speak to this case.
6	Please state your name, please.
7	MS. HALL: Hi. Virginia Hall, here on
8	behalf of Ware County. And everything that the
9	investigator spoke to is correct, based on my
10	understanding of the facts, and we are in agreement
11	with the decision to issue a letter.
12	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you.
13	Members? Any motions?
14	MR. WORLEY: I would move that we issue
15	a letter of instruction in Case Number 2017-018, Ware
16	County.
17	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Is there a second?
18	MRS. LE: I'll second that.
19	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you.
20	Any discussion on the motion?
21	(no response)
22	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor
23	signify by saying aye.
24	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
25	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?

(no response)

2.2

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries.

MS. WATSON: The next case is Tab 51, 2017-62, City of Milton, Fulton County, vote buying.

On November 1, 2017, the City of Milton Police Department, William Lusk, and Ms. Karen Chandler reported there was a vote-buying campaign in the City of Milton, Georgia general elections. The complainants advised that the City of Milton voters would be rewarded with a gift card or cards to redeem at a local restaurant in return for voting for three specific candidates.

The investigation showed an offer was posted to the Facebook page, "We call Milton Home."

The posting stated: Here's your chance to win a \$50 gift card to a Milton resident -- owner -- owned campaign -- restaurant at 800 North Main Street. We will give away nine gift cards, three each day left in early voting for Milton city council, et cetera.

The owner of the Facebook page was identified as Scott Tittle who advised that he made the offer and the post. Mr. Tittle was not aware that it was a violation. He states no rewards were purchased or given as a result of the post.

And what we're recommending is Scott

Tittle be issued a letter of instruction for 21-2-570. 2 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: I believe there's people who would like to speak to this. 3 4 If you would come forward please. 5 you will pronounce your name, please. 6 MR. KUNZ: Thank you. I will. Is this 7 on? 8 MR. KOVAL: Yep. 9 MR. KUNZ: My name is Matt Kunz, a city 10 councilman in the City of Milton. Just like all of 11 you, I've sworn an oath to uphold the laws in the 12 state of Georgia, the laws of the constitution and the 13 laws of the City of Milton. 14 One of my favorite quotes from 15 Eisenhower is that a people that values its privileges above principles soon loses both. 16 17 And the reality is is unfortunately 18 that the City of Milton has dealt with a lot of 19 tactics in elections over the last several years. And 20 with that, we've had several residents that have gone 21 through Georgia Government Transparency and Campaign 2.2 Finance Commission. We had ethics complaints filed 23 against the residents. One of those is actually being 24 dismissed tomorrow because they did nothing wrong. 25 Others had to spend a lot of moneys on attorneys.

And this particular case is very interesting because we filed a complaint against the same group for violating the Supreme Court decision, Buckley v Valeo, 1976, and FEC v McConnell, 2003, which goes before the Georgia Government Transparency and Campaign Finance Commission because it allows the elector to know who's behind these bodies that are trying to influence elections. That states specifically that if you're more than one person, you spend any money at all or make an offer of any money at all on an election, you're guilty of an ethics charge.

2.2

Now, the issue was is that they actually went above and beyond by offering the post for the vote-buying deal by offering gift cards for the campaign at a pizzeria. But people, again, who values its privileges above its principles soon loses both. The "We Call Milton Home" page still has not made public who was behind the page. If you want to maintain the elections of any community, there has to be an accountability according to the Georgia Government Transparency and Campaign Finance Commission ethics rules as well as your rule per se to make sure everything goes okay.

So I'm not here to say what your role

here should be as far as that goes, but who is behind 2 that page needs to be made public. I am public. When 3 I ran, I did everything right, so did Bill. Everybody 4 holds us accountable, hold us accountable. Everybody 5 else should be held accountable as well. 6 Thank you. 7 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you. 8 Is anyone else here to speak to this 9 case? 10 (Mr. Lusk approached the microphone) MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 11 If you could 12 identify yourself. 13 MR. LUSK: Mr. Secretary, members of 14 the board, I'm William Lusk, City of Milton. I am a 15 complainant in this case. 16 In addition to what your findings might 17 show, Mayor Lockwood has been in front of the state 18 ethics board on previous occasions -- (sound made) 19 excuse me -- (indiscernible) on this matter. I view 20 that as a total disregard of the rules and regulations 21 that are expected of elected officials. That's all I 22 have to say. Thank you. 23 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you, sir. 24 Anyone else that like to speak to this? 25 Provide your name, please.

MR. TITTLE: I'm Scott Tittle, and I just wanted to speak to the -- so I'm the person that made the post on the Facebook page. So I definitely acknowledge that. And so I'm not a political pro, and I did some research online to try to see if what I -- my thought in my head, promotion voting, would be okay or not. And it didn't -- I didn't find anything online to speak differently, so I made the post.

2.2

Within less than 24-hours somebody made a comment referring to some election rules. And when I saw that, I immediately took down the post and -- and haven't made a post since then.

So I just wanted to acknowledge that, and I have no ties to any of the candidates, just except from a public viewpoint of support for them.

So I just wanted to take responsibility and try to give more context to the history of how that happened.

Later that same day, I got an e-mail from Francis Watson from the office -- I'm assuming the investigative office -- oh, hello. And so we spoke and she also just reminded me of the rule and I'd already removed the post and just acknowledged that that won't happen again.

And that's all I want to say. Thank you.

1	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you.
2	MR. WORLEY: I have a question.
3	MR. TITTLE: Sure.
4	MR. WORLEY: Did either Mr. Lockwood,
5	Mr. Jamison, or Ms. Bentley did any of them have
6	any knowledge or awareness of what you were doing when
7	you did it?
8	MR. TITTLE: No, they did not.
9	MR. WORLEY: That's all.
10	MS. SULLIVAN: I have a question.
11	MR. TITTLE: Sure.
12	MS. SULLIVAN: Just to clarify, was the
13	post to vote for specific candidates or was it to
14	vote?
15	MR. TITTLE: The vote was if you took a
16	picture so the vote what I posted was if you
17	if you submitted a picture with your face in front of
18	one of the candidate's campaign signs and with an "I
19	voted" sticker, then you would be eligible for a
20	drawing for a gift card to the restaurant.
21	MS. SULLIVAN: So posting your picture
22	with any candidate would qualify you for this?
23	MR. TITTLE: I believe I designated
24	three candidates named in there.
25	MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you.

1 MR. TITTLE: Sure. 2 MR. WORLEY: And just to follow-up. And each of those candidates had opponents in the 3 4 race? 5 MR. TITTLE: Two of the three had 6 opponents in the race, correct. 7 MR. WORLEY: Okay. And you -- I believe the record says that you suggested that if 8 9 they posted on your Facebook page a picture with 10 Ms. Bentley, Mr. Jamison, or Mr. Lockwood's campaign 11 signs, they would get a gift card, correct? MR. TITTLE: That's correct. Well, 12 13 they would be eligible for it, yes. 14 MR. WORLEY: Right. They'd be 15 eligible, but you didn't make the same offer for the 16 opponents of Bentley, Jamison, and Lockwood. 17 MR. TITTLE: That's correct. 18 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you, sir. 19 MR. TITTLE: Sure. Thank you. 20 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Members, do we have 21 any comment or a motion? 22 MR. WORLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 I think that it's very important for 24 this board and its actions to draw a very bright line 25 between -- or bright line that says we don't tolerate

offering money or anything else to vote on behalf of a candidate.

2.2

2.5

And essentially what happened here was the gift card was offered for someone to show they had voted and to take a picture with a specific candidate's campaign sign. And I think the implication is that they're being rewarded for voting for particular candidates. And I think we have to have a very bright line against that.

There's not any indication in our record that the candidates were named as respondents, participated in that, but I think that -- I think that we need to refer this case over at least as against Mr. Tittle to the attorney general's office because a mere letter of instruction is not sufficient in these kinds of cases.

 $\label{eq:And I will make it a motion that we} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{And I will make it a motion that we} \\ \textbf{refer the case against Mr. Tittle over to the attorney} \\ \textbf{general's office.} \end{center}$ 

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$  . RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a second?

MS. SULLIVAN: I understand,

Mr. Tittle -- I appreciate you coming in today and
explaining your part in the previous report.

I second the motion.

1 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any discussion on 2 the motion? 3 (no response) 4 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Call the guestion. 5 All those in favor of referring this over to the 6 attorney general's office please signify by saying 7 aye. THE BOARD MEMBERS: 8 Aye. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 9 Any opposed? 10 (no response) 11 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. MS. WATSON: The next case is Tab 52, 12 13 Hall County, Tabulation, Case Number 2018-43. 14 On July 16, 2018, Lori Wurtz, 15 supervisor of Hall County elections, reported issues 16 her office experienced with a counter that led to 17 questions from the public concerning the accuracy of 18 election returns during the general primary of May 22, 19 2018. Two citizen candidates complained to the Hall 20 County Board of Election and Registration that they 21 did not observe all of the relevant names and races on 2.2 one of the DRE result tapes placed at the Candler 23 polling station. 24 Investigation shows that after the poll 25 closed on May 22, 2018 it was confirmed that one of

the results tapes at the Candler precinct in Gainesville did not show some of the candidates. It was reported that on election night, by the poll manager, that there was a problem getting one of the result tapes printed due to an issue with a printer.

2.2

After troubleshooting, it was determined that the plastic cover over the printer of the unit had somehow come unsnapped. Once the cover was snapped closed, the printer started printing again. It was not noticed at the time that the printer had resumed printing without including all of the candidates.

Further investigation confirmed that the DRE memory card for the DRE unit, with the result tape missing information, contained all the candidates and votes cast. An examination of the DRE and the precinct voter counts were confirmed, showing that no votes not logged -- that no votes were lost.

Lori Wurtz now includes training to the poll workers to check each result tape to verify that a complete list of races is listed on each tape.

And we recommend Hall County Board of Election and Voter Registration; Cassandra Glass, Hall County poll manager be issued a letter of instruction.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. If anyone

would like to speak to this case, come forward and identify yourself.

2.2

MS. WURTZ: Good morning. I'm Lori
Wurtz. I'm the director of elections in Hall County.
And I'm a little nervous. This my first time here, so
I want to thank you for taking the time. I would like
to respond to -- I don't remember your name -- to what
she just read and let you know that we have taken some
actions in our office and those do include, as you
stated, the -- the poll-worker training.

Also, that poll manager has not worked since that event happened.

In the training, regarding the closing down the polling place has been enhanced by simply slowing down and actually demonstrating to the poll workers how this occurred. And the poll managers are now verifying all machine tapes are the same length. That's a really good way to quickly verify that everything has printed because all the tapes would be the same lengths since all of the machines contain the same races and the same candidates.

Also I would like to point out that the public counter on the results tape would total to equal the total of all of votes cast in section B of the DRE recap sheet which should equal the GEM

statement votes cast report for that same precinct.

And in this case, all three matched.

2.2

The SEB rule, 183-12.025(a)6, in my opinion, was not violated as all tapes were hung on the door of the precinct and they did reflect the exact same count recorded on the DRE recap. The information was easily verifiable by comparing the public counter total which is located at the beginning of the tape and was included in the printing of the tape with the machine totals.

And I -- I do -- I don't want you to think that it's not important for all of the races to show on the tapes, I do believe that is very important and the quick -- the quick test for that is are they the same length and that will tell you that they're all contained in the same races and candidates.

So moving forward, that's what we will do in Hall County. But I would like to ask that you consider dismissing this case based on the fact that we did hang the tapes as -- as instructed. They were verified because all of the numbers matched. The only way you could've told that anything was different was if you were a candidate and you went and looked on there or as she did and saw that her name was missing. Because all of the totals, the machine information at

1	the very beginning of the tape and all of the totals
2	displayed on the tape were verified and were accurate.
3	Thank you.
4	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you.
5	MS. WURTZ: Yes, sir.
6	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Members, do you
7	have any comments or would anyone like to make a
8	motion?
9	MS. SULLIVAN: I'll ask a question.
10	Ms. Watson, is there a the
11	recommendation still stands to issue a letter of
12	instruction in this case
13	MS. WATSON: Yes, that's right.
14	MS. SULLIVAN: based on a potential
15	violation of the code?
16	MS. WATSON: Yes.
17	MR. WORLEY: I would make a motion that
18	we issue a letter of instruction to Hall County in
19	Case Number 2018-43, though I appreciate very much
20	Ms.~Wurtz being here and explaining it and making it
21	clear this is a problem that will not occur again.
22	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Second?
23	MRS. LE: I'll second that.
24	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, a second.
25	Any discussion on the motion?

1	(no response)
2	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Those in favor
3	signify.
4	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
5	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?
6	(no response)
7	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries.
8	We have the rest of these. Any other
9	case the board might like to pull off?
10	MR. WORLEY: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I
11	would like to pull off the following letter cases for
12	individual discussion: SEB Case Number 2015-092,
13	Houston County, in Tab 26; SEB Case Number 2016-048,
14	Mitchell County, Number 31 in the tabs; SEB Case
15	Number 2016-083, DeKalb County, vote buying, Tab
16	Number 35; SEB Case Number 2016-153, Clarke County,
17	prohibition on photography, Tab 44; SEB Case Number
18	2016-160, Newton County, prohibition on photography,
19	Tab Number 45; and finally SEB Case Number 2016-172,
20	restaurants vote offers, vote buying, Tab Number 48.
21	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. Got that
22	right. 92, 48, 83, 153, 160, 172?
23	MR. WORLEY: Say those again.
24	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 92, 48, 83, 153,
25	160, 172.

1 MR. WORLEY: Right. 2 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any others that people would like to pull off? 3 4 Members, do we want to take a 5 five-minute break? We'll be in recess for five 6 minutes. 7 (Break taken) MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 8 Okay, everyone. We'll go ahead and get started. That would be great. 9 10 Okay. The first case we have that we'll be looking at 11 is Houston County, 2015-092. 12 MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Mr. Secretary. 13 It's Tab Number 26 in your binders. 14 On November 20, 2015, the secretary of 15 state's office received a complaint concerning a 16 posting by Larry Walker the III on his Facebook page. 17 At this time, Mr. Walker was running for the Georgia 18 Senate District 20 seat during the December 19 special-election runoff that year. 20 On the posting, it stated for every 21 Saturday voter showing a sticker, Larry will donate a 2.2 turkey to a family in need in Middle Georgia this Thanksgiving. "Let's make a difference in our 23 24 community by voting for the right leader and helping

25

those in need."

Mr. Walker was contacted and he advised that his campaign staff had made the post. He advised that he had removed the post, that he was taking responsibility for it. He said he ended up not donating any turkeys because no one showed up except a friend from church. Mr. Walker states that he's trying to bring awareness to the election in general and not generate votes for himself although he hopes voters would vote for him.

2.2

In this case, we recommend that Larry Walker the III be issued a letter of instruction for the listed violation.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Is their anyone from the audience that would like to speak to this?

(no response)

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any members would
like to speak to this?

MR. WORLEY: Yes, Mr. Chairman, thank you. I believe for the same reason that I discussed earlier, in relation to the Milton case, that this case should be referred over to the attorney general's office. The posting offers something of value, a donation to charity essentially, and specifically asks that the person votes for the right leader. So -- which was clearly Mr. Walker in this case. So I think

1	it's appropriate to refer it over to the attorney
2	general.
3	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Anyone else want to
4	speak to this?
5	MR. WORLEY: I don't believe I actually
6	made a motion, but I would make a motion to refer the
7	case over to the attorney general's office.
8	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay.
9	MR. HARP: I'm going to second that
10	motion.
11	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have a second.
12	MS. SULLIVAN: Second.
13	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have a second.
14	MR. HARP: I did say
15	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, Senator Harp.
16	Any further discussion?
17	MS. SULLIVAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I
18	have a question. And I think it's the same similar
19	case that we discussed because we're talking about the
20	person who's voting is not receiving anything of value
21	and there is no promise to donate a turkey in
22	someone's name. And I'm not sure if that actually
23	constitutes a violation of election campaigning.
24	And also I think it would be very
25	difficult to establish a case to refer that over

because the individual's not receiving anything of value. He's not promising to give anything of value.

2.2

So for that reason I think that I probably would vote for a letter of instruction, but I understand the motion's on the table.

MR. WORLEY: And if I could just respond to that. I do believe it's a thing of value and I think you're not -- I think the person who is being offered this is getting something, some, you know, good feeling, and the person who's receiving the turkey is obviously getting something.

I think it would be helpful in a case like this if it was referred to the attorney general's office so the attorney general could look at that and see if it was actually a violation of the law and makes a determination. That's all.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any further
discussion?

MS. SULLIVAN: Nothing further. My concern is the attorney general's province to determine whether or not an actual violation of the law has occurred.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We'll call the question. All of those in favor of the motion before us, signify by saying aye.

1 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any of those 2 3 opposed? 4 (no response) 5 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 6 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, the next 7 case that I have marked on the list is SEB 2016-048, Mitchell County, gift to register. It's Tab Number 31 8 9 in your binders. 10 In March of 2016, Ronald Spence reported a posting on Trasontra Williams' Facebook 11 12 page. She states the posting was offering a free 13 all-expenses-paid trip to Jacksonville Beach. It was 14 verified that the posting was located on Ms.~Williams' 15 Facebook page, saying: Attention, attention, 16 attention. We would like you to go to Jacksonville 17 Beach for an all-expense-paid trip at no cost to you. 18 To qualify you must be 18 to 25 years of age and must 19 become a registered voter in the next 14 days. And 20 once you're registered and contact -- you must contact 21 me and I will give you the final details.

Ms. Williams was interviewed and said

that she did make the post as part of her attempt to

get young people registered to vote. She states that

she was not aware it was a violation to do so.

2.2

23

24

25

stated no one had contacted her stating they had 2 registered as a result of the posting. We recommend that Ms. Williams received 3 4 a letter of instruction for the listed violation. 5 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. Anyone to 6 speak to this? Anyone from the crowd? Anyone? 7 MR. WORLEY: Mr. Chairman, thank you. I would make a motion that would refer this over to 8 the attorney general's office for the same reasons 9 10 that I expressed in relation to the Houston County and 11 the Milton matters. 12 This is clearly offering something of 13 value to register which is within the prohibition 14 language of the statute. 15 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Is that a motion? 16 MR. WORLEY: Yes, I'm sorry. I would 17 make a motion that would refer this over to the 18 attorney general's office. 19 MR. HARP: I'll second the motion. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have a second. 20 21 Is there discussion? Any discussion on the motion? 2.2 (no response) MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 23 Hearing no 24 discussion, call the question. All of those in favor 25 of sending this to the attorney general's office

signify by saying aye. 2 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 3 Any opposed? 4 (no response) 5 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 6 Mr. Lewis. 7 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, the next case that I have is SEB 2016-083, DeKalb County, vote 8 9 buying. 10 In May of 2016, the complainant alleges 11 the City of Avondale Estates, which lies within DeKalb County, had offered voters cupcakes in return for 12 13 voting in the May of 2016 general primary election. 14 Russ Madison was the complainant who 15 reported that the City of Avondale Estates' Facebook 16 page offered a free cupcake to anyone who voted in the 17 May election. There was also a Twitter posting 18 referring to the Facebook post. It was found that 19 Laura Haass, the owner of Icing and Cake Design Sweet 20 Boutique decided to offer voters a free mini cupcake 21 for having voted in the general primary. 2.2 Ms. Haass contacted the City of 23 Avondale Estates communication manager Debbie Revzin 24 and presented the offer and then posted the offer on

the city's Facebook page. Both Ms. Haass and

25

Ms.~Revzin advised they were trying to encourage 2 voting and not advocating for any particular 3 candidate. They both stated that they were not 4 familiar that it was a violation. 5 We would recommend the City of Avondale 6 Estates, Ms. Revzin, and Ms. Haass be issued a letter 7 of instruction for the listed violation. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 8 Is there anyone 9 here to speak on that? Any member that would like to 10 speak to this? MR. WORLEY: 11 Thank you, Mr. Secretary. I would make a motion to refer this case also over to 12 13 the attorney general's office. While it seems like a 14 very small thing to offer cupcakes, we have in the 15 case of other food items in the past referred cases 16 over to the attorney general's office. 17 And this case is particularly troubling 18 to me because we have the city itself offering an item 19 in violation of the law. 20 So again I would move that we forward 21 this case to the attorney general's office. 2.2 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: And that's in the 23 form of a motion? 24 MR. WORLEY: Yes.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a

25

1 second? 2 MRS. LE: I'll second it. 3 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have a second. Is there any further discussion of the motion? 4 5 (no response) 6 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing none, call 7 the question. All those in favor of referring this to the attorney general's office please signify by saying 8 9 aye. 10 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 11 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed? 12 (no response) 13 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: None opposed. 14 Motion carries. 15 MS. WATSON: The next case is Tab 44, 16 2016-153, Clarke County, prohibition on photography. 17 On November 2, 2016, the complainant 18 forwarded a copy of the Facebook of Abel Klainbaum. 19 The page displayed a photograph of an electronic 20 ballot with the face of the DRE in it with wording 21 that indicated the image was of Klainbaum's own 2.2 ballot. Abel Klainbaum stated that he did take the 23 photo and post it to his Facebook page. 24 We recommend Abel Klainbaum be issued a 25 letter of instruction for the listed violation.

MS. SULLIVAN: Now, ms. Watson, was 1 2 this individual warned ahead of time that he was not 3 to use his cell phone? I believe we had that 4 presented in a case a minute ago where the complainant 5 was actually warned and did it anyway. 6 MS. WATSON: Right. 7 MS. SULLIVAN: Was this case similar --8 MS. WATSON: Right. The poll workers 9 did not remember specifically, however they do state 10 that -- in general, that they advise all the voters 11 coming in are cautioned about using their cell phones. 12 MS. SULLIVAN: But they did not 13 remember --14 MS. WATSON: No. 15 MS. SULLIVAN: -- a specific 16 conversation? 17 MS. WATSON: No. 18 MR. WORLEY: Well, if I could just 19 follow up on that, Ms. Watson. The report that we 20 have says Van Helden, who was the poll worker, stated 21 that he cautioned each individual who entered the 22 polling station not to use cell phones or photographic 23 devices and stated that he cautioned this individual 24 as well.

MS. WATSON: I stand corrected.

25

1	MR. WORLEY: I just wanted to make sure
2	that that was
3	MS. WATSON: Correct.
4	MR. WORLEY: So under those
5	circumstances, I would make a motion that we refer
6	this case over to the attorney general's office.
7	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a
8	second?
9	MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second it.
10	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have a second.
11	Any further discussion?
12	(no response)
13	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All of those in
14	favor of referring this case over to the attorney
15	general's office please signify by saying aye.
16	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
17	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?
18	(no response)
19	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries.
20	MR. LEWIS: The next case is Tab 45,
21	160, Newton County, prohibition on photography.
22	In November of 2016, complainant
23	reported a Facebook posting of James Aaron Brooks
24	which displayed a photograph of Mr. Brooks' ballot
25	with the face of the DRE in it. The complainant

observed the Facebook posting of James Aaron Brooks to have two photographs of the DRE ballot screen with the posting: My two favorite votes are in the pictures. They told me to turn off my cell phone, but those of you that know me know that I sometimes buck the system a little bit.

2.2

The complainant also stated that there was language on the posting to the effect that: I'm hoping that someone reports me for taking a picture to SOS office.

Also included in the Facebook posting was a conversation between Brooks and outgoing circuit district attorney Layla Zon. In the conversation,

Ms.~Zon cautioned Brooks as to the producing of the photograph. Brooks responded by stating: I do believe that taking pictures of the ballot screen was found to be protected as a first-amendment right.

Mr. Brooks was contacted twice by the investigator and asked if he would like to respond to the allegation regarding taking the photograph of his ballot. He declined to provide any statements.

We're recommending a letter of instruction for the listed violation.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any discussion?
MR. WORLEY: Well, it won't surprise

anyone at this point that -- that I think that we 2 should refer this matter as well to the attorney general's office. If Mr. Brooks wants to challenge 3 the authority of the state to prohibit him from doing 5 that, then perhaps this is the right case for that to 6 happen. We have the attorney general to advise us on 7 that. So I would make a motion to forward 8 9 this case to the attorney general's office for further 10 action. 11 MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second the motion. 12 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any discussion on 13 the motion? 14 (no response) MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing none, call 15 16 the question. All those in favor of referring this, 17 Case Number 2016-160, to the attorney general's office 18 please signify by saying aye. 19 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 20 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed? 21 (no response) 22 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing none, 23 motion passes. 24 MS. WATSON: The next case I have is 25 Tab 48, Fulton County, restaurants vote offers,

2016-172.

2.2

2.5

On November 8, 2016, Heather Searles reported improper offers having been put forth by various metro Atlanta businesses concerning voting in the general election of the same date. It was reported that on the date of the election six vendors advertised gratuities to individuals who could provide proof that they had voted.

Investigation shows that Doc Chey's and Osteria 382 (sic) offered double karma points on election day for online orders regardless if the customer had voted or not. We found no violation.

Chuck E. Cheese, in honor of election day, was holding a vote-on-your-favorite-pizza promotion and was not offering free pizza to those providing proof of voting. We found no violation.

Krispy Kreme Doughnuts Corporation was offering a free Doughnuts for those providing proof of voting until they were made aware of the violation.

They immediately changed the posting to their website and social media and started providing a free Doughnuts to all patrons in honor of election day.

Firehouse Subs was offering a free medium drink to any patron that was wearing a "I voted" sticker. Once they were notified, they

stated they would change the offer to a free medium drink to any patron on election day.

2.2

Gold's Gym offered a free workout session to anyone who came in with an I-voted sticker on Election Day. Jeannette Treckman, the vice president and senior counsel for Gold's Gym, advised they were not aware that Georgia election laws prohibited such an offer, but would make sure that the marketing department is aware for the future.

Get Dinner to Go offered a free drink with a "I voted" sticker. The company was contacted and spoke to Mr. Drexel Mitchell. Mr. Mitchell did not advise that he would stop providing the offer.

We were recommending Krispy Kreme, Firehouse Subs, Gold's Gym, and Get Dinner to Go be issued a letter of instruction for the listed violations.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any discussion?

MR. WORLEY: I -- I would distinguish

this case -- or parts from what we've seen before. I

think that the offer by Krispy Kreme, Firehouse Subs,

and Gold's Gym was to anyone and not connected with

any individual candidate and those establishments

ceased making the offer as soon as they were notified.

So I would be in favor of sending those three a letter

of instruction.

2.2

But Get Dinner to Go apparently was contacted by the investigations division, told there was a violation of Georgia law, would not confirm that they would remove the promotion. It remained posted the rest of the day during the general election. And finally an e-mail from one of the operators suggested they would continue the offer.

So under those circumstances, I would make a motion to send a letter of instruction to Krispy Kreme, Firehouse Subs, and Gold's Gym, and refer Get Dinner to Go over to the attorney's general office.

MR. HARP: Second.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$   $\ensuremath{\mathsf{RAFFENSPERGER}}:$  We have a second. Do we have any discussion on the motion?

(no response)

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing none, I'll call the question. All those in favor of referring letters of instructions in Case Number 2016-172 for Krispy Kreme, Firehouse Subs, and Gold's Gym, and referring Get Dinner to Go to the attorney general's office for further review signify by saying aye.

1	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
2	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?
3	(no response)
4	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries.
5	We have the remaining cases before you
6	to be voted on as a block. Do I have that correct?
7	Is that before you? Do we have a motion?
8	MS. SULLIVAN: I move that letters of
9	instruction be issued in the remaining cases that we
10	have not discussed in the majority as recommended.
11	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a
12	second?
13	MR. WORLEY: I will second that.
14	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have a second.
15	Any discussion on that?
16	(no response)
17	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing none, all
18	those in favor of referring that providing letters
19	of instruction for the remaining cases hearing
20	none, all those in favor say aye.
21	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
22	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?
23	(no response)
24	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing none,
25	motion carries.

1 We now have our new cases before us, 2 Mr. Lewis. I guess we can call these to see who's 3 here, in place. SEB Case Number 2015-008 City of 4 5 DeSoto, Sumter County. 6 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, that case 7 was continued. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 8 Okay. 9 MR. LEWIS: I apologize. It was not 10 updated on your list. 11 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Case Number 2015-022, Lumpkin County, possible repeat voting. 12 13 MR. WOLFF: Good morning, 14 Mr.~Secretary. Jeff Wolff on behalf of Jason Wetzel. 15 Mr. Wetzel is also here. 16 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, thank you. 17 Case 2015-074, City of Cordele. 18 anybody here to speak on that? 19 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, they're not 20 going to be present today. This case was presented at 21 our last meeting, and I think the board had a tie on 2.2 the vote. We were instructed to bring it back here. 23 We brought it back today. We have letters from the 24 respondents in this case we'll pass out for a 25 presentation.

1 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. 2 Next case 2015-084, City of Roswell, 3 precinct changes. Anyone? 4 MR. BARRON: Rick Barron, Fulton 5 County. 6 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Case 2015-087, City 7 of Demorest in Habersham County, repeat voter. No one here. 8 9 2015-093, Hall County, precinct change 10 (indicating). 11 2015-095, City of Rossville, Walker 12 County. 13 MS. MERRELL: Your Honor, Donna Merrell 14 on behalf of the City of Rossville. I'm here with the 15 city clerk Ms. Sherry Foster and Ms. Russanna Jenkins 16 with the city is here. 17 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you. MS. MERRELL: Thank you. 18 19 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 2015-100, DeKalb 20 County, absentee ballot. 21 MR. BRYAN: Bennett Bryan on behalf of 22 DeKalb County. I'm here with the chairman of the 23 DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections and 24 our director of elections, along with several members 25 of our election staff.

1	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you.
2	2016-006, Paulding County.
3	MS. HOLDEN: Deidre Holden, election
4	supervisor.
5	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you.
6	2016-007, Pike County.
7	2016-008, Douglas County.
8	MR. COLE: I'm David Cole for Douglas
9	County. I'm also here with Milton Kidd, the election
10	supervisor, and Moises (ph.) Bowman, the deputy
11	supervisor.
12	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you.
13	2016-009, Paulding County.
14	MS. HOLDEN: Deidre Holden, Paulding
15	County.
16	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 2016-010 in
17	Bleckley.
18	2016-014, Glynn County.
19	2016-023 in Clayton County.
20	MR. REID: Charles Reid on behalf of
21	Clayton County along with Laterrial Francis.
22	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 2016-052, Gwinnett
23	County.
24	We'll go in the order of those that
25	of people that are here on their cases. I believe

that starts us with Tab 54.

2

Mr. Lewis.

3

MR. LEWIS: Yes, sir, Mr. Secretary.

Mr. Wetzel voted in person in North

4

The first case will be 2015-022,

5

Lumpkin County, possible double voter.

6

In May of 2015, it was reported by the North Carolina Board of Elections that Jason Wetzel

7

8

may have been voting in North Carolina and Georgia.

9

Mr. Wetzel registered as a voter on September 9, 2011

10

in Lumpkin County, Georgia. Mr. Wetzel then

11

registered as a voter in North Carolina on March 8,

12

2012, in Cumberland County, and his voter status in

13

Georgia remained active.

14

Carolina in the primary election on May 8, 2012 and

1516

then voted by absentee ballot in Georgia on July 31,

17

2012 for the Lumpkin County election. In person again

18

in North Carolina on November 6, 2012 and by absentee

19

ballot in Georgia for the Lumpkin County election on

20

November 6, 2012. In person again in North Carolina

21

for the November 4, 2014 election and then by absentee

22

ballot in Georgia for the Lumpkin County election of

2324

2014.

It was determined through voting

25

documents and Mr. Wetzel's admission in an interview

with North Carolina law enforcement that he did admit to voting in North Carolina and Georgia.

2.2

In a lot of this activity, we referenced his voting registration to O.C.G.A.

21-2-217. In determining the residence of a person desiring to register to vote or to qualify to run for an elective office, the following rules follow as far as they're applicable. Paragraph 13, that code section states: If a person goes into another state and while there exercises the right of a citizen by voting, such person shall be considered to have lost such person's residence in this state.

We would recommend that Jason Wilton Wetzel be bound over to the attorney general's office for the four listed violations in the report.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. I believe there's some folks here to speak to this case.

State again for the record who you are, please.

MR. WOLFF: Thank you,

Mr.~Secretary. My name is Jeff Wolff. I'm here on

behalf of Mr.~Wetzel. Mr. Wetzel's here as well. I'm

going to give a brief statement and then my client will give a brief statement, and, of course, he would

be happy to answer any questions.

1 I do have some medical documentation 2 that I'd like to hand out briefly if I may. 3 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Please. 4 (Respondent's counsel handed documents to 5 the board members.) 6 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Would you like to 7 move to enter this into the record also? MR. WOLFF: I would like to move that 8 9 into the record. Thank you. 10 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: So moved. 11 MR. WOLFF: This is my first time 12 appearing, so I'm a little lacking on procedure. 13 I'm handing you just a brief medical 14 record. The situation -- first of all, my client 15 fully accepts responsibility and we don't dispute any 16 of the facts as related. My client admits to violating Georgia election law. 17 18 We're here just to give a brief 19 explanation that my client was suffering some serious 20 medical problems at the time. The issue is that my 21 client had -- he was suffering from sleep apnea. It 2.2 caused hypoxia and it caused some serious issues with 23 memory. 24 My client doesn't know why he did this,

but once he -- for the first time in his life ever, in

25

his late 60s and early 70s, violated the law. He went back and tried to understand why this may have happened. My client has a long history of teaching history. He was a civilian archivist with the U.S. Army and certainly was not politically or criminally motivated when he violated Georgia and North Carolina election law. So we just offer this to show you that there were some issues going on with him medically and with his memory.

2.2

2.5

Further, I want to explain to the board that he was punished in North Carolina. He was criminally prosecuted. It was a deferred prosecution but he paid fines. He had a mental evaluation. He had a substance abuse evaluation and he did community service. And so we want you to take that into consideration as well.

That's essentially what we want you to know. We are asking the board to consider a letter in place of referral to the attorney general. My client would like to make a statement, and he is available for any questions as well.

MR. WETZEL: Thank you. What I did was wrong and I take full responsibility for everything.

I deeply regret the problems I have caused the state.

I humbly ask for forgiveness. I did break the law,

but I did not break it with premeditation. All my life I've obeyed our laws and lived to do the right thing for myself, my family, and my community.

2.2

Yes, I did break the law, but inadvertently and not with forethought. This was due to health problems that impaired my memory and thought processes. I have never been in trouble with the law. I have always been civic-minded and worked to give back to my community through volunteer organizations.

How could I do something that would fly in the face of everything these groups represent? I am filled with remorse that this has occurred. This is a living nightmare for me. I attribute this costly tragedy to my health problems. I am humiliated that I brought such shame onto myself and anguish and embarrassment to my family. I apologize for all of us having to be here today and I ask for your understanding and forgiveness. Thank you.

MR. WOLFF: Are there any questions?
MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Members, do you

want to ask him any questions?

MR. WORLEY: I had some questions and either of you can answer these. First of all, how much was Mr. Wetzel fined and how many hours of community service did he do?

MR. WOLFF: Total fine including court costs, \$380, and total community service, 25 hours.

2.2

MR. WORLEY: And could you just clarify for me, was -- during this long period when these violations occurred, was Mr. Wetzel living in both states? Did he have residences in both states?

MR. WOLFF: Thank you, for -- I meant to talk to that, but I didn't want to take too much time. So Mr. Wetzel's legal residence we would argue was in Lumpkin County, Georgia. He had bought property in 2010 -- well, 2008, I think, and built the home in 2010.

MR. WETZEL: In 1995, I bought the property. I built the house in 2010.

MR. WOLFF: Okay.

And that was his -- that would be his legal residence. He was commuting to Fayetteville, Fort Bragg, and working on as a civilian for DMD. So he commuted every week. His life partner of 30 years lived in his Dahlonega house. He had -- I mean, we have a lot of other evidence. I have a library card and other things that would -- I have a number of things, his driver's license -- I have a number of -- a number of things would show -- that would normally show residency.

But the fact is that Georgia law -he -- it revoked his res -- it revoked his residency
for voting purposes once he registered in North
Carolina. So, you know, I didn't want to get into
that whole argument because as a matter of law, he -he lost his residency for voting purposes once he
registered to vote in North Carolina.

2.2

But, however, you know, as long as we're talking about that, I mean, someone does have a right to vote in one place in a general sense. He lost that right once he registered in two places, as a matter of law. But, you know, legally, yes, he was a resident of Georgia, Lumpkin County specifically.

And he was commuting every week and that added to his health problems in his late 60s. He has apnea. He had other problems. That was just the most pronounced. So he was commuting from Dahlonega to Fort Bragg. It's about a seven-hour commute.

MR. WORLEY: Thank you.

MRS. LE: Thank you for being here,
Mr. Wetzel. I have a question. Some of these
violations go back to 2012, and I see from your
medical records that it dates to 2015. Did you have
these conditions that may have impaired your thought

process during that time?

MR. WETZEL: Yes, ma'am. We -- we knew something was amiss because of my memory issues that were affecting my job and my personal life. We didn't know what it was, and I was going through different doctors and trying to ascertain what was going on. And it wasn't until 2015 that we said something is wrong. This is when it came to light. These problem which affected my memory, I couldn't answer properly.

And so we knew something was wrong, and it was advised that I seek medical help and try to ascertain and get to the root of the problem which was eventually diagnosed as hypoxia which is a lack of oxygen to the brain causing memory issues, and then resulting in an operation in 2016 that helped with the airflow.

MR. WOLFF: And I just want to point out in the opening paragraph of the clinical note that it does say onset was gradual five years ago. So the note is dated 2015, but it would -- if you take the gradual onset, that would take it back to 2010 back from 2015.

 $\mbox{\bf MR. HARP:}\mbox{ Mr. Wetzel, are you a }$  veteran?

MR. WETZEL: No, sir. I served as a --

1 MR. HARP: I'm sorry, sir? 2 MR. WETZEL: I served as a civilian for 3 It was for the government. the Army. Do you receive any benefits 4 MR. HARP: 5 from the VA? 6 MR. WETZEL: No. No, sir. 7 MR. HARP: Have you applied? MR. WETZEL: I'm not eligible for VA 8 benefits I do not believe. I don't think so. 9 10 MR. HARP: That's it. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any further 11 12 questions? Any discussion? Anyone like to make a 13 motion? 14 MR. WORLEY: I'll make a motion and 15 make a comment beforehand. I appreciate the 16 circumstances that Mr. Wetzel and his attorney 17 described, however, I think the fact that he's paid a 18 fine in another state, done community service in 19 another state is something that should be taken into 20 account in assessing the penalty that we would impose. 21 I think a penalty more severe than just 2.2 a letter of instruction is warranted and for that 23 reason I move that we refer the case to the attorney 24 general's office. 25 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a

1 second? 2 MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second Mr. Worley's 3 motion, understanding that the attorney general may take into consideration that the fine and community 5 service already assessed in North Carolina in 6 determining what's appropriate for the consent form. 7 So I second the motion. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 8 We have a second. 9 Any discussion on the motion. 10 (no response) 11 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing none, all those in favor of referring this to the attorney's 12 13 generals office in Case Number 2015-022 please signify 14 by saying aye. 15 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 16 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed? 17 (no response) 18 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 19 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, the next 20 case that I have marked is SEB 2015-084, the City of 21 Roswell, Fulton County, precinct changes. 2.2 In November of 2015, three complainants 23 advised of a situation regarding the change in their 24 polling location in Fulton County. The complainants 25 stated that they did not received proper notification

that their precinct had been changed from the Bridge to Grace Church to the East Roswell Library until either just before or on the day of the general election in November of 2015.

2.0

2.2

2.5

The complainants also advised that they had not yet received their new voter registration cards indicating the change in location.

Investigation revealed that Fulton

County Registration and Elections did in fact change
the location, the RW20 poll from Bridge to Grace

Church to the East Roswell Library. The change was
published in the legal organ for Fulton County on

September the 16th and again on September 21, 2015.

The notice said that all voters would be notified
immediately by mail of the change. The complainants
did not receive a letter or an updated voter
registration card prior to the November 2015 election.

New voter registration cards were requested for the precinct change in February of 2016. Also the pastor of the Bridge to Grace Church stated that he never received official notification from Fulton County regarding the change in polling locations. Fulton County advised that no letter or other form of notification was forwarded to the church.

1 We would recommend the Fulton County Board of Elections be bound over to the attorney 2 3 general's office for two twenty -- twenty-six (e) in violation of duties of the issuance of the new 5 registration cards, and the Fulton County Board of 6 Elections and Registration also be bound over to the 7 attorney general's office for two -- 21-2-265, that has the superintendent selecting poll locations. 8 First cite's for the board, the second cite's for the 9 10 board, and Rick Barron, election supervisor. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Would someone like 11 12 to speak to this? 13 MR. BARRON: Good morning, 14 Mr.~Secretary. MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Provide your name. 15 16 MR. BARRON: Rick Barron, Director of 17 Registration and Elections for Fulton County. Good 18 morning, Mr.~Secretary and members of the board. Good 19 morning, Mr. Russell. 2.0 We -- we don't dispute the facts on 21 this, although with -- with regard to notifying the 2.2 church, I can't imagine that we would've moved the 23 polling place there without -- without knowledge of 24 the -- without the church knowing. We -- we did have, 2.5 I believe if you check the records, 34 polling place

changes in that election cycle. 2 We have since changed the way that 3 we -- that the election side notifies our voter 4 registration side of the changes. Those cards now can 5 be -- we process those proposals as they come out of 6 the board of registration and election meeting. And 7 if they -- if any of those changes have to go to the board of commissioners afterwards for approval, after 8 9 the board responds -- or after the boards of 10 registration and elections approved them, then those 11 are -- the voter registration division is notified 12 after the board of commissioners approves that one. 13 That's it. 14 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any members like to 15 ask any questions? 16 (no response) 17 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you. 18 Is there a motion? Any discussion for 19 the motion? What is the rule of the committee? 20 MR. WORLEY: I actually would ask 21 Mr.~Barron a couple of other questions if he wouldn't 2.2 mind coming back up.

MR. BARRON:

MR. RAFFENSPERGER:

23

24

25

MR. WORLEY: The pastor of the church

Thank you.

Sure.

said that he never received any official notification. 2 Is it normal to send those out in writing? MR. BARRON: We -- we have contacts at 3 4 all of our polling locations. And my -- the staff 5 member that -- that does that, it may be that there 6 was somebody else in the church in which she was in 7 contact. We also -- one thing that I -- that I was remiss in saying earlier, that the polling place was 8 9 across the street and we did -- I do have 10 documentation showing that we did order signs to put 11 at the old polling place. 12 MR. WORLEY: Okay. And -- but there's 13 no -- it's not your normal practice to notify the 14 locations in writing? 15 MR. BARRON: Yes. We -- we do notify 16 them in writing. 17 MR. WORLEY: Okay. MR. BARRON: But there's contact made 18 19 by phone first and then -- then we do contact them in 20 writing, but I -- I'm not sure of the size of the 21 church, but it could be that there was somebody and 2.2 the office administrator or manager may have been 23 known about it without the pastor knowing that --24 MR. WORLEY: Do you -- do you keep a

25

record of --

1	MR. BARRON: Yes.
2	MR. WORLEY: the written notices
3	
	that you sent to the church?
4	MR. BARRON: Yeah, I can get that to
5	the board if you so wish.
6	MR. WORLEY: Okay. And then do you
7	keep a record of whether the voters are notified in
8	writing?
9	MR. BARRON: We do you know, we
10	don't dispute the fact that we didn't order for that
11	precinct.
12	MR. WORLEY: Okay. All right.
13	That's all.
14	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you.
15	Do we have a motion?
16	MRS. LE: I move to send it to the AG's
17	office.
18	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have a motion to
19	
	send this to the attorney general's office. Do we
20	have a second?
21	MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second the motion.
22	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have a second.
23	Do we have discussions on this case?
24	(no response)
25	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing none, I'll

call the question. All those in favor of referring 2 this case, Case Number 2015-084, to the attorney 3 general's office please signify by saying aye. 4 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 5 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Anyone opposed? 6 (no response) 7 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, the next 8 case I have marked is SEB 2015-093, Hall County, 9 10 notice of change in polling location. 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

In December 2015, an investigation was conducted to determine if the Hall County Board of Elections and Registration properly notified voters of a poll change during the November 2015 special election.

It was also alleged that the Hall County Board of Elections and Registration failed to print signs and ballots in Spanish and that Saturday voting had been limited or omitted from a poll because poll workers weren't trained to access the voter registration files.

In reference to the Saturday vote location, Hall County actually had three voting locations open, so there was no evidence to support any violations of limited access for the voters for

any reason. In addition to that, Hall County is not currently mandated to have bilingual requirements regarding signage or ballots, so there was no finding for those allegations either.

2.0

2.2

Regarding the notification of poll location changes, it was found that the Glade Precinct, District 12 was voting in the Hall County Health Department and was moved to the East Hall Community Center. Notification was posted in the legal organ with signage at the previous location. However, electors were not provided with updated precinct cards until after the November 2015 election. Cards were ordered on November the 12th.

We would recommend the Hall County
Board of Elections and Registration be bound over to
the attorney general's office for the listed
violation.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: And come forward. State your name for the record, please.

MS. WURTZ: Hello, again. Lori Wurtz, director of Hall County Elections, and I have with me the former director Charlotte Sosebee who was the director in 2015.

While we acknowledge that this happened, it was an oversight, and I don't have any

personal knowledge because I wasn't there. I've only been there for a year. So I'm going to ask
Miss Charlotte to answer any questions you might have.

2.2

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Please state your
name for the record, please.

MS. SOSEBEE: Yes. My name is

Charlotte Sosebee. I currently serve as the director

of elections for Athens-Clarke County, formerly from

Hall County.

And in 2015, there were polling location changes made. In addition to the cards being printed to the voters, according to 21-2-235, there is a need to post signs in three locations within the vicinity as well as at the location.

And that particular location previously was a library. It was torn down by the county and became the Hall County Employee Clinic. There was no room to have the election there. We -- of course, I surveyed the location and it was not conducive for the voters. So the sign was placed there. There were two other -- or three other locations in the vicinity of the area where the signs were also placed as the code section requires. There were notices in the paper. There were notices on our website. So we did everything except double-check to make sure that

polling location had cards.

2.2

We had received phone calls and noticed that there was a box of undelivered cards, and we just -- I'm going to say presumed that the people that were calling may have not gotten their cards because of undelivered mail. So I started to inquire within the office, people are staff members who lived in that precinct and they said they hadn't gotten their cards.

We had an E-SPLOST election in November where it was a county-wide election and it was then that I thought well, let me go back and check the system. We had everything written down, did everything we were supposed to do, but we failed to put the Glade precinct in our changes. What we did is we combined the precinct that was attached and sent those voters to the East Hall Community Center.

So the voters were not denied the right to vote because they were directed to that location. So if a voter had gone to that particular polling location, they would've seen the sign out front. That sign remained there until the election -- the following county-wide election which was, I believe, in March of that year, which was the PPP.

And from that point, prior to me leaving, if I made any corrections like that, I made

sure somebody double-checked. And Lori does that and we both agree, you know, this favorite quote:

Teamwork makes the dream work. So we work in teams, and I carried that procedure with me to Athens-Clarke County to make sure that somebody double-checks behind me to make sure that we include all who should be included.

2.2

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any of the members
have any questions?

MRS. LE: I have a question. How far is the distance between the change? If someone were to show up, they made arrangements to go to one place and have to redirect, how cumbersome -- how difficult would it have been between --

MS. SOSEBEE: It was two and a half miles. Not quite three. And we did everything, like I said, we were supposed to do. We informed the secretary of state's office. And at the time we weren't even supposed to send it to the US Department of Justice. Across the street reinforcement. We did everything we were supposed to do. Again, like I said, when we went through -- back through the list -- and I -- I've said -- I'm sitting there and I'm naming all of the precincts, I think it was about seven. And when I got to that one, I was like: Oh no, we missed

1	that one somehow. And immediately I ordered those
2	cards and sent them. And again, it was after the
3	election and that was a very low turn-out election.
4	It was the E-SPLOST unfortunately, but those voters
5	were still allowed to vote and had if they had
6	shown up at that polling location, they would have
7	seen a sign.
8	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Anything from the
9	members?
10	(no response)
11	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you.
12	MS. SOSEBEE: Thank you.
13	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, you have that
14	before you. Do we have a motion?
15	MR. WORLEY: Well, I think if we want
16	to be consistent with our previous actions, we need to
17	refer this case over to the attorney general as well.
18	And I would make a motion to do that.
19	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, we have a
20	motion. Do we have a second?
21	MRS. LE: Second that.
22	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have a second.
23	Any further discussion?
24	(no response)
25	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing none, all

those in favor of referring Case Number 2015-093, Hall 2 County, to the attorney general's office please 3 signify by saying aye. 4 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 5 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Anyone opposed? 6 (no response) 7 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Mr. Secretary. 8 9 The next case that I show is SEB 2015-095, City of Rossville, Walker County, spoiled 10 11 ballot. Should be Tab Number 59 in your binders. 12 November of 2015, multiple 13 complainants, five, reported issues with the municipal 14 general election ballot in the City of Rossville for 15 November 3, 2015. It was reported that five ballots 16 were marked spoiled by the city elections officials 17 and not counted. 18 The complainants reported the lack of 19 clear instructions for writing in a candidate on the 20 ballot helped contribute to the problem. It was also 21 reported that one section of the ballot was 2.2 completely -- completed improperly, the entire ballot 23 was discarded in its entirety. 24 Election Supervisor Jenkins reviewed

the ballots and tabulation and rejected five ballots

25

in their entirety. One ballot was rejected because the voter had selected all three city council candidates instead of only two. However, the voter was not given credit for the mayoral vote properly cast. The remaining four ballots had a write-in candidate with no title of office included. However, each of those ballots had correctly voted for the city council candidates, two of three, but they were not given credit for those selections.

2.2

The format of the ballot was not in compliance with election code as the instructions on the ballot itself were not complete and did not explain the entire process of how an elector should cast the vote for a write-in candidate in the space provided. Because the votes were incorrectly cast, it should not invalidate the votes on the remainder of the ballot that's otherwise properly marked.

We would recommend the City of
Rossville and Russanna Jenkins, the city elections
supervisor, be bound over to the attorney general's
office for the listed violations.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. There's
people here to speak to that.

MS. MERRELL: Yes. Thank you.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Yes. State your

name for the record.

2.2

MS. MERRELL: Yes, Mr. Secretary. My name is Donna Merrill.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace.$  RAFFENSPERGER: Yes, use the microphone.

MS. MERRELL: Thank you. My name is Donna Merrell, spelled M-e-r-r-e-l-l. I'm the attorney representing the City of Rossville here today, and I thank Mr. Secretary and members of the board for hearing from her.

For over 30 years, the City of
Rossville used the same election ballot without
incident and followed their same procedures which
included instructions in each voting booth for how to
cast write-in ballots. They did not realize they were
in violation. We were not representing them at the
time. We began representing them last year.

We let them know that their ballot was deficient. They had us create a new ballot. Then upon reconsideration, we decided the very best option for the city was to outsource the elections. We are currently in negotiations with Walker County for them to take over the election process from the City of Rossville. At the time of these alleged violations, the City of Rossville thought they were doing the

right thing. They did not certify themselves until they contacted their liaison down here at the secretary of state's office, Mr. Xavier Harris. They told him about the spoiled ballots and that they did not determine the intent of the voters and what they were doing with the spoiled ballots. They sealed up the spoiled ballots and have not opened them since. They thought they were doing the right thing at the time. They tried to obtain guidance from myself, the secretary of state's office and have now planned to outsource their elections.

2.2

So we would ask for something less than referral to the attorney general's office since they've taken all of the steps possible to correct this problem moving forward.

Do you have any questions? This is Ms.~Russanna Jenkins.

MR. WORLEY: I have a question. You've indicated -- not for Ms. Jenkins, for your lawyer. You indicated that for 30 years the City of Rossville always did the election the same way. And they -- is that the manner in which the errors occurred? Or did that occur after the change in the election process?

MS. MERRELL: So this has been going on for the whole 30 years. They never had a problem

alleged until the 2015 election. And from that point they made the changes. No one had complained to them prior. They didn't realize there was a problem with their ballot.

MR. WORLEY: Okay.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any other

questions?

2.2

MR. WORLEY: Actually, I think I have another question. So there was no previous problem with the structure of the ballot, the format of the ballot, but there was a problem in this instance with the way the ballots were counted in addition to the structure, correct?

MS. MERRELL: So it's my

understanding -- and Ms. Jenkins is here if I say anything that's incorrect, but it's my understanding that where a ballot had a write-in candidate, they were supposed to designate -- we had the instructions that were contained in each booth where they were supposed to write in that candidate, they were also supposed to write in "city council" or "mayor" along with their vote. Voters that only wrote a write-in candidate and did not designate whether that was for the office of mayor or the office of city council, those votes were not counted.

1	MR. LEWIS: Mr. Worley, I think for
2	clarity, if I may, the ballot was in two parts, and
3	they would post a second sheet of instructions in the
4	voting booth and a lot of the voters did not see
5	because they were looking at their ballot, they didn't
6	see the second set of instructions. The format should
7	be all in one piece.
8	MS. MERRELL: And as we indicated, they
9	corrected that but then we decided to go a step
10	further and just outsource the elections altogether to
11	Walker County going forward.
12	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any questions?
13	(no response)
14	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you.
15	MS. MERRELL: Thank you. We appreciate
16	your time.
17	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: The case is before
18	you. Do we have a motion?
19	MS. SULLIVAN: I'm going to make a
20	motion that this case be bound over to the attorney
21	general's office as recommended.
22	MR. WORLEY: I second that.
23	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any discussion on
24	the motion?
25	(no response)

1 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing none, all 2 those in favor of sending to -- referring this to the 3 attorney general's office, Case Number 2015-095, 4 please say aye. 5 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 6 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed? 7 (no response) MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 8 9 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, the next 10 case I have is 2015-100, DeKalb County, absentee 11 ballot and handicap parking entrance. There are two 12 separate complaints -- complainants in the 13 allegations --14 MRS. LE: I'm sorry, Mr. --15 Mr. Secretary, I'd like to dismiss myself from this 16 hearing for conflict of interest reasons. 17 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. 18 MR. LEWIS: I'm sorry, Mr. Secretary. 19 Again, there were two separate 20 complainants that made allegations of the DeKalb 21 County Board of Elections and Registration, against 2.2 them, regarding the November of 2015 general election. 23 A Timothy Hoffman reported that he had 24 requested an absentee ballot be forwarded to him by 25 DeKalb County during the time he was deployed

overseas. Hoffman stated he had not received the absentee ballot as of November 13, 2015.

2.0

2.2

Daniele Xenos stated that on the afternoon of November 3rd, during the election, the only handicapped entrance to the DeKalb County School of Arts polling station was locked, that handicapped persons were not able to gain access into the building.

In regard to Mr. Hoffman's absentee ballot request on July 8, 2015, DeKalb County advised that there was confusion over the eligibility of the voter to receive an electronic ballot for that election since there were only local candidates running. A paper absentee ballot was mailed to Mr.~Hoffman's home address in Stone Mountain, but -- since Mr. Hoffman had not provided the overseas mailing address.

On October 7, 2015, when Mr. Hoffman contacted DeKalb County to inquire of the status of his absentee ballot request, the county was able to obtain the correct APO overseas address and mailed another paper ballot on October 12, 2015. Mr. Hoffman received and returned the absentee ballot to DeKalb County with it arriving prior to the cutoff of November 6, 2015, even though he told us he had never

received it.

2.0

2.2

2.5

The absentee ballot was not counted in the election to which Maxine Daniels, the former elections superintendent for DeKalb County, stated they were in error of not counting the ballots.

In response to the reports that the handicapped access doors were locked at the DeKalb County School of Arts polling location, the poll manager stated that the custodian must've locked the doors at the end of the day and they would ask that they be unlocked. It's not known exactly how long the doors were locked or how many people were affected by the lack of handicap access.

Ms. Daniels with DeKalb County did advise the access to the school polling locations have become an issue due to increased need for security in school locations. It was decided that an extra poll worker will be added to the school locations to monitor the locations to make sure the voters had access.

We would recommend that the DeKalb

County Board of Elections and Registration and Maxine

Daniels, retired DeKalb County Elections Supervisor,

be bound over to the attorney general's office for the

listed violations.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. We have people to speak to this. If you would identify yourself.

2.2

MR. BRYAN: Mr. Chairman, members of the board, my name is Bennett Bryan, I'm the senior assistant county attorney with DeKalb County. I'm here on behalf of DeKalb County with Ms.~Erica Hamilton. The director of elections is also here if there's any follow-up questions that the board has for either of us.

In reference to the first complaint, Mr.~Hoffman's complaint, I think that the results of the investigation, we don't -- we don't necessarily disagree with -- with the facts of those. I will say that there -- there is some confusion about UOCAVA absentee ballots for overseas members because only certain elections actually have electronic ballots that are able to be distributed. And it was strictly municipal elections like this one, electronic ballot -- an electronic absentee ballot was actually not an option, and so the -- the application did not indicate that -- that the voter would like to have a absentee ballot sent by -- or sent to his overseas address. Therefore, because there was no absentee ballot, it was only sent to the -- the -- his home

address, his registered address.

Now, this -- this particular matter is important to me. As a former marine myself, making sure that our -- you know, our military members are able to vote is extremely important. One thing that in discussions with the -- you know, with our -- with our staff, one way that we might be able to prevent this from happening again is when a e-mail address is provided, even if no electronic -- electronic ballot is available for that particular election, then what we need to do is that we need to be more proactive in contacting that member to arrange for an alternative way for that member to be able to vote.

And so one policy we will be instituting is that not only will we be sending notices to the home address but also attempting to contact them through their e-mail addresses if they provide them and any phone numbers if they decide to provide those. Really any other -- or we'll just -- we'll just need to make more of an effort to contact them and let them know that electronic voting is not going to happen in this particular election.

And so, you know, again, we take it seriously and we intend to -- we are instituting policies to make sure this doesn't happen again.

In terms of -- in terms of why the vote wasn't counted, it is my understanding based on the letter that we received from your office, that Maxine Daniels, the former elections director, has indicated that it should've been -- it -- that it was received in time, but it wasn't counted. I have not been able to either confirm or deny that and have not spoken with anybody that has personal knowledge of that, and without Ms. Daniels being here, I am unable to confirm or deny that fact.

2.2

2.5

However, again, we're putting policies in place now to prevent this from happening in the future and any additional clarity that perhaps the secretary of state's office or the elections board would like to direct us to do as it relates to municipal elections that don't allow electronic voting, we're happy to work with you on that.

In terms of the second complaint, that has to do with access to -- that has to do with access to the voting locations. Again we do not dispute the factual findings. It is unclear exactly how long this particular door was locked, but it is our understanding that there is an automatic locking mechanism in -- in certain schools. And so doors were locked without -- without anybody actually physically

locking them. They locked on their own. And as soon 2 as our staff became aware of that, they opened it 3 immediately. We do not -- again, we don't know exactly how long it was. We do not believe it was 5 very long and we've also now added staff members to 6 each school location to ensure that this doesn't 7 happen in the future. 8 I'm happy to answer any questions. 9 Otherwise I would request that you issue a letter of 10 instruction. 11 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any questions? 12 MR. BRYAN: Thank you. 13 MR. WORLEY: I do have some questions. 14 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Mr. Worley. MR. WORLEY: The automatic locking 15 16 mechanism that some DeKalb County schools have, I 17 think that's what you said. 18 MR. BRYAN: It -- it is -- that is my 19 understanding, yes. 20 MR. WORLEY: Okay. And is that the 21 case with the DeKalb School of the Arts? 2.2 MR. BRYAN: Yes, that is with DeKalb 23 School of the Arts. 24 MR. WORLEY: Okay. All right, thank 25 you.

1	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a
2	motion?
3	MR. WORLEY: I would make a motion that
4	the allegation for the potential violations relating
5	to the handicapped access be dismissed because it
6	doesn't seem to be that there was anything in that one
7	instance that the election board could've done to
8	prevent it from automatically locking. And they
9	resolved it as soon as they knew about it. And also
10	they have taken steps to make sure it doesn't happen
11	again. So I would move for that one violation alone,
12	that that be dismissed.
13	MS. SULLIVAN: Are you going to have
14	two separate motions?
15	MR. WORLEY: I'm only making one
16	motion, yes, the motion that I made.
17	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: For Allegation
18	Number 2.
19	MR. WORLEY: For Allegation Number 2,
20	yes.
21	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, do we have a
22	second on the second allegation?
23	(no response)
24	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: I need a second if
25	there's going to be a second for dismissal.

1 (no response) 2 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing none, that 3 motion fails. Okay. 4 MS. SULLIVAN: Mr. Chairman, I make a 5 motion that a letter of instruction be issued and that 6 violation for -- I think it's the obligation of the 7 board to make sure that the doors aren't locked -aren't locked. So I would -- I would make a motion 8 that a letter of instruction be issued on that. 9 10 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Move to Allegation 11 1. Do you want to address that one at the same time? 12 MS. SULLIVAN: And I'll make the --13 well, yes, we'll try that. I move for the first 14 allegation be bound over to the attorney general's 15 office. 16 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: So we have a motion for Allegation 1 bound over to the attorney general's 17 18 office and for Allegation 2, a letter of instruction 19 be sent. Do we have a second for that one? Is that a 20 second, Senator Harp? 21 MR. HARP: Yeah. 2.2 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: So then before us 23 today, do I have any more discussion on that? 24 (no response) 25 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Then all those in

favor of that Allegation 1 would be bound over to the 2 attorney general and the second allegation, a letter 3 of instruction -- all those in favor say aye. 4 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 5 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed? 6 (no response) 7 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, we're going 8 9 to switch off. Would this be a good time for the 10 executive session? MR. RAFFENSPERGER: The only question I 11 12 have is we have four people here that are -- they came 13 here and if we can do them before lunch, if that's 14 okay to the committee. That way they can get on down 15 the road, so just to respect their time. So if we 16 could do that, let's knock these four more cases out. 17 MS. WATSON: The next case that I show 18 is Tab Number 61, Case Number 2016-006, Paulding 19 County, felon. 20 In January of 2016, Paulding County 21 election supervisor Deidre Holden reported that Judy 2.2 Dianne Chastain had applied for voter registration in

December of 2015. Ms. Chastain was later determined

to be under sentence of felony probation at the time

23

24

25

of the application.

1 Investigation verified that Judy Dianne 2 Chastain was currently serving a felony probation 3 sentence through September of 2019. It was determined that while serving the felony probation Ms. Chastain 5 voted in two elections: the general election held in 6 Cobb County on November 6, 2012 and November 4, 2014. 7 The explanation provided as to why Ms. Chastain was not on the felon list was that when her conviction 8 9 information was entered by the jurisdiction, they did 10 not provide enough identifying information for a match 11 to have been identified with when compared to the 12 voter list. 13 Cobb County Elections would have had no 14 way of knowing she was not qualified to vote at the 15 time she presented herself as an elector. 16 We are recommending that Judy Dianne 17 Chastain be bound over to the AG's office for 18 21-2-216 (b). 19 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Does anyone want to 20 come speak to this? 21 MS. HOLDEN: I'm just available for 2.2 questions. 23 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Did anyone else 24 want to speak to this? Members? Do you have any

questions for the election supervisor?

25

1	(no response)
2	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a
3	motion?
4	MR. WORLEY: I make a motion that we
5	bind this case over to the attorney general.
6	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a
7	second?
8	MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second.
9	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any further
10	discussion?
11	(no response)
12	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing none, all
13	those in favor of binding this over to the attorney
14	general's office?
15	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
16	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?
17	(no response)
18	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries.
19	MS. WATSON: The next case is Tab 63,
20	Douglas County, 2016-008.
21	In January of 2016, the elections
22	division reported that Douglas County was sent a voter
23	postcard application for an electronic ballot
24	delivery. On January 15, 2016, the applicant failed
25	to list a political party preference on the

application. Douglas County was late sending a ballot until they contacted the applicant on January 19, 2016. On January 19, 2016, Douglas County requested an electronic ballot to be sent to the applicant. The electronic ballot was issued three days past the deadline of January 16, 2016.

2.2

2.5

The investigation shows that Douglas

County should have entered election -- Elector Damien

Allen in the system and they could've sent him a voter

write-in absentee ballot. Douglas County could've

rejected the application by saying there was not

enough information. Douglas County attorney David

Cole responded that there were issues with the ballot

as it was and the applicant failed to select the party

preference and it was confusing as to where he wanted

the ballot to be sent. Attempts were made to contact

the applicant. Due to the holiday on Monday, it

delayed sending of the ballot.

We're recommending Douglas County Board of Election and Registration and Laurie Fulton, election supervisor from Douglas County, be bound over to the AG's office for 21-2-384(a)(2).

 $$MR.\ RAFFENSPERGER:$$  Anybody like to speak to this?

MR. COLE: (moving forward)

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Come down the center. There's a microphone for you. Will you state your name for the record, please.

2.2

MR. COLE: Good morning. David Cole on behalf of the Douglas County Board of Elections and Registration. There's three points I think are important to understand this case.

The first is that the slated deadline of January 16th, which was 45 days prior to the date of the presidential primary preference, was a Saturday. And under O.C.G.A. 21-2-14 when a deadline for action falls on a weekend or a legal holiday, the deadline carries over to the next business day that is not the weekend or legal holiday.

In this case, that means the deadline for mailing these ballots was Tuesday, January 19th because Monday the 18th was the Martin Luther King Jr. holiday. That is in fact the day that this ballot was mailed, so therefore the board complied with the deadline and there was no violation.

Second of all, O.C.G.A. 21-2-384 only requires that a absentee ballot be mailed to eligible applicants within 45 days before the election. And then for additional applicants that are determined to be eligible after that date, that should be mailed

after determining their eligibility.

2.2

And in this case, the voter Damien

Allen was not previously registered. So when he sent
in his postcard application, that constituted both his
application to register and his request for the
ballot. Well, at that time, he wasn't yet determined
to be eligible to vote because he hadn't completed his
registration. The board has to enter his data into
the department of driver services system to confirm
the match of the data. That was not confirmed by the
department of driver services until Saturday the 16th.
That's referenced in the investigator's report.

Obviously offices were closed that weekend and on the Martin Luther King holiday. So when the offices reopened on Tuesday, they saw that his eligibility had been confirmed. They properly registered him and immediately sent him the ballot. So in accordance with 21-2-384, even if the 16th on a Saturday was the deadline, they still complied because his eligibility wasn't determined until registration was completed.

Lastly, O.C.G.A. 21-2-381 says that an absentee ballot application must contain sufficient information to identify the primary election runoff in which the elector wishes to vote. In this case, the

elector did not specify which presidential preference primary he was asking to vote in. So there was no way that the board could determine which ballot to send him because he didn't indicate which primary he was voting in. So the application itself didn't meet the requirements and could not be issued a ballot.

Now, I suppose the board could've

2.2

2.5

rejected the application at that time, but I don't think that's really in the best interest of the voter. What they attempted to do was contact the voter to get the information on which primary he wanted to vote in. Once he provided that, they sent the ballot right away.

And so because of these three reasons, we respectfully submit there was no violation in the case and that a letter of instruction is not appropriate and the case should instead be dismissed.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any questions?

MR. WORLEY: Mr. Cole.

MR. COLE: Yes.

MR. WORLEY: And was the vote counted? What happened with his ballot?

MR. COLE: He never even submitted the ballot. He was issued a ballot and he did -- he did not -- he did not submit a ballot.

1	MR. WORLEY: Okay, but had it
2	MR. COLE: The voter
3	MR. WORLEY: Had it been submitted, it
4	would've been counted, correct?
5	MR. COLE: Yes.
6	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any other
7	questions?
8	(no response)
9	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you.
10	MR. COLE: Thank you.
11	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: What is the ruling
12	of the committee?
13	MR. WORLEY: I would make due to the
14	evidence that the attorney for Douglas County has
15	presented I'm sorry given the evidence that the
16	attorney for Douglas County has presented, I would
17	move that this case be dismissed.
18	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a
19	second?
20	MRS. LE: I'll second that.
21	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have a second.
22	Any further discussion?
23	(no response)
24	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor
25	of dismissing Case Number 2016

MR. WORLEY: Aye. 1 2 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: -- 008 --MR. WORLEY: Oh, I'm sorry. 3 THE BOARD MEMBERS: 4 Aye. 5 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed? 6 (no response) 7 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 8 MS. WATSON: The next case is Tab 64, Paulding County, 2016-009. 9 10 In January of 2016, it was reported 11 that Paulding County erroneously entered a UOCAVA 12 voter into E-Net with a ballot issue date that 13 indicated they had mailed a ballot. But the voter 14 requested electronic ballot delivery and when Paulding 15 corrected the status to electronic ballot delivery, it 16 showed no ballot being issued by the deadline. 17 Investigation substantiated the 18 allegation. Absentee ballot clerk Lacy Dunn 19 mistakenly entered a mailed date when processing the 20 request from Elector Kristen (ph.) Wilson and also 21 checked the request for electronic ballot. The system 2.2 would not send an electronic ballot due to the mail 23 date being entered. Once the issue was discovered, it 24 was corrected and an electronic ballot delivered three 25 days past the deadline. The electronic ballot was

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

returned prior to the March 1 PPP deadline.

We're recommending the Paulding County Board of Elections and Registration and Deidre Holden be bound over to the AG's office for 21-2-384(a)(2).

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. Would
someone like to speak to this case?

MS. HOLDEN: (standing at microphone)
MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Identify yourself,

please, for the record.

MS. HOLDEN: Deidre Holden, election supervisor, Paulding County. The first thing I want to let the board know, and Mr. Secretary, is this was a self-reported incident. We take our UOCAVA voters very seriously.

We received Ms. Wilson's application on January the 14th. The deadline was the 15th. What we had to do was -- it was a federal postcard application, she was not a registered voter. She had to go through the verification process. We entered her in on the 14th, she verified overnight, and on the 15th was when we issued the ballot.

The reason why the ballot was not issued is the Election Net System allowed us to put in a mail date as well as an electronic ballot date.

When we request an electronic ballot, we are sent an

e-mail from the system stating -- it's basically a copy of the letter that the voter has received that you have been issued an electronic ballot.

2.2

When Ms. Dunn realized that she had not received that in the proper time, we knew there was a problem. We immediately called Erica Hamilton who was working for the secretary of state at the time and (indiscernible) Eglin (ph.) who was the UOCAVA liaison. And immediately they saw what the issue was. The system, in my opinion, should have never allowed us to enter a mail date when the EBD was already checked. We did not get to issue the ballot until the 19th. Ms. Wilson was actually residing in New Zealand. She got her ballot and she returned her ballot and her ballot was voted.

I am requesting either a dismissal of this or a letter of instruction. We have also put practices in place with our absentee process now that no one, even if it's an EBD ballot, is to ever enter in a mail date. And we have requested that there be a prompt to come up into Election Net that when you issue something like that, that could become an issue that it would not allow. There are prompts that do come up in Election Net when we change a street or change the number on a street. With something as

1	important as a UOCAVA vote, I think we should be
2	prompted there, saying: Do you really want to enter a
3	mailed date.
4	So that is the issue that we have. I'm
5	very thankful that our UOCAVA voter did get to vote
6	and her vote counted.
7	So I am requesting either a dismissal
8	or a letter of instruction. If you have any
9	questions, I'll be glad to answer those.
10	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Members, any
11	questions?
12	(no response)
13	MS. HOLDEN: Thank you.
14	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you so much.
15	Okay, it's before us. Do we have a
16	motion on this case?
17	MR. HARP: Sorry, what was that again?
18	Dismiss?
19	MR. WORLEY: I move to dismiss.
20	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: You move to
21	dismiss, okay.
22	MS. SULLIVAN: I'll second the motion.
23	MR. WILLARD: I'm sorry, what was the
24	motion?
25	MS. SULLIVAN: To dismiss.

1 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion to dismiss. 2 MR. WILLARD: Mr. Secretary, may I be 3 heard for a moment? 4 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Yes. 5 MR. WILLARD: In light of the state's 6 history in mitigating the UOCAVA issue and the fact 7 that this case does not possess some of the same factual circumstances as the previous case where 8 9 errors were made by the applicant, I would actually 10 ask the board to consider in lieu of dismissing 11 issuing a letter of instruction in this case. 12 MRS. LE: Thank you for being here. 13 MS. HOLDEN: You're welcome. 14 MRS. LE: So this is UOCAVA -- issuance 15 of UOCAVA ballots is a somewhat of an important but 16 yet routine --17 MS. HOLDEN: Yes. 18 MRS. LE: -- part of any election. 19 MS. HOLDEN: Yes. 20 MRS. LE: So has this happened in the 21 past and why this time and not others? And why before 22 the additional procedures were in place? 23 MS. HOLDEN: We've never had this 24 issue. It's just -- it was a human error. She put 25 the --

MRS. LE: Oh --1 MS. HOLDEN: -- the EBD was already 2 marked and the system allowed her to enter a mail 3 4 date. It was just error. She didn't -- she was not 5 supposed to have put the mail date in, but she did. 6 Even though EBD was marked, it was -- it was allowed 7 in the system. We -- we normally have -- and I'm 8 9 fortunate of this, we normally service over 200-plus 10 UOCAVAs during presidential elections and this 11 happened one time. So I think that speak volumes 12 about our absentee balloting. 13 MRS. LE: And in your corrective action 14 and plan, does it include training --15 MS. HOLDEN: Yes. 16 MRS. LE: -- and being refreshing 17 classes --18 MS. HOLDEN: Yes. 19 MRS. LE: -- or refresher classes? 20 MS. HOLDEN: We go through this every 21 And I know my people are experienced, 2.2 they've been working with me for years, but we still 23 sit down and we -- we adopt a plan of action. We make 24 sure that we're dotting every "i" and crossing every 25 "t". It's very important.

MRS. LE: Thank you. 1 2 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: So thank you, 3 Ms.~Holden. There's a motion before us and there's 4 5 We also heard from the attorney general's office and their recommendation would be to -- in lieu 6 7 of dismissal, do a letter of recommendation -- a letter of instruction. 8 9 So the choice is the person who made 10 the motion, it's their right, they could withdraw it 11 or we can proceed with the vote. 12 So is there any further discussion? 13 not, I'll call the vote. 14 (no response) 15 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor 16 of dismissal signify by saying aye. 17 MR. WORLEY: Aye. 18 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in 19 favor -- all those opposed say nay. 20 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Nay. 21 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Looks like the nays 2.2 have it. This is still before us then. 23 MS. SULLIVAN: Based on the advice of 24 the attorney general, I'll move that a letter of 25 instruction be issued instead of a dismissal.

MR. WORLEY: I'll second that. 1 2 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, we have that 3 All those in favor of a letter of 4 instruction being sent, age if you support that. 5 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 6 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any of those 7 opposed say nay. 8 (no response) MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 9 10 Letter of instruction will be sent. 11 MS. WATSON: The next case is Tab 12 Number 67, Case 2016-23, Clayton County. 13 On March 7, 2016 Clayton Board of 14 Elections and Registration self-reported that one of 15 their poll managers had failed to retrieve a memory 16 card from a DRE unit at the close of polls and transport the card to the election office. 17 18 Investigation confirmed the report. 19 Brad Lacey was the poll manager for the polling 20 station, Riverdale 11, in Clayton County. Mr. Lacey 21 did not use one of the DRE machines during the 2.2 election and failed to retrieve the memory card for 23 the unit at the close of polls. It was discovered 24 once all of the election materials were turned in to 25 the Clayton County elections office at approximately

9:30 election night. It took until approximately 2 3 a.m. to get a key holder to come out in order to 3 retrieve the memory card. The memory card showed a 4 zero count. 5 We recommend the Clayton County Board 6 of Elections and Registration and Laterrial Francis, 7 acting Clayton County election supervisor at the time, and Brad Lacey, poll manager, be bound over to the 8 AG's office for the listed violation. 9 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Is there anyone to 10 11

speak to this?

MR. REID: (approaching the microphone) MR. RAFFENSPERGER: If you would

identify yourself, please.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. REID: Good afternoon, Mr. Secretary and the board. My name is Mr. Charles Reid and I represent the board and Ms. Francis in this matter.

The first thing I want to state is that the letter that was provided to us did not give a -any notice that Ms. Francis was facing a violation. It only talked about Mr. Lacey. It just said potential violations but didn't say exactly what it was, so we would just raise that as an issue that she wasn't given any notice that she was facing anything.

But factually, I'll just summarize that she's here to answer any questions. The -- this was a self-reported issue. Ms. Francis had provided training to all staff per usual guidelines. And this poll manager was working that particular day, didn't use the machine, and just failed to pull the card.

2.2

She was not present at that location obviously. She was conducting the calculations -- or the tabulations for all of the -- the votes and realized that that particular precinct was missing a card. She called the secretary of state and let them know that. Because it was a location that had already been locked by the time, it took some time to get that card. There was no vote done on that machine, so it wasn't like there was a vote that was missing.

But because of the seriousness of the allegation, she removed that poll manager from operating as a poll manager and he would no -- did no longer conduct any poll manager duties going forward.

Also, in addition, Ms. Francis is no longer the election supervisor. She continues to work for Clayton County but in a different role. And so we believe that it would be appropriate for this board to dismiss the allegations against her. First for the notice issue, also for the fact that -- that she

provided training. She did have a plan in place, which was remove that person and not allow them to conduct any more poll manager duties and that it was a self-reported issue.

2.2

At best we believe that it would be a letter of instruction to her. And I don't know what -- what benefit that would be for her because she no longer works in elections at all. So we would just ask that the board would just dismiss this outright.

I don't speak for Mr. Lacey. And whatever the board wants to do with him, we don't have any issue with that.

But she's here to answer any questions that the board has.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Thank you. Just for the record, as I read it, she -- it's really poll manager Brad Lacey that the recommendation was for further action.

So that's how I read it, Ms.~Francis.

MS. WATSON: Mr. Secretary, we did notice Laterrial Francis in this case on March the 15th. We did send out a notice.

MR. REID: The notice does -- there's
a -- there is a letter to her, but within the
investigation summary, she's not listed as having done

1	any having any violations, at least in this summary
2	that I have.
3	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Correct. Thank
4	you.
5	Is there any questions for the
6	gentleman?
7	(no response)
8	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, what is the
9	will of the committee?
10	MS. SULLIVAN: Ms. Watson, can you
11	state again is the recommendation in this case no
12	violations against Ms. Francis? Only the poll
13	manager? Am I reading that correctly?
14	MS. WATSON: We recommended the board
15	of elections and registration, Laterrial Francis, and
16	Brad Lacey be bound over to the AG's office.
17	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, so it's both
18	of them.
19	MS. SULLIVAN: I'll make a motion that
20	the Clayton County Board of Elections and Registration
21	be bound over to the AG's office and that the
22	remaining two respondents be dismissed.
23	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Is there a second?
24	MR. WORLEY: I'll second that.
25	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have a second.

Any further discussion? 2 (no response) 3 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing no further 4 discussion, all those in favor of sending this to 5 attorney general's office please sig -- please do so 6 by signifying aye. THE BOARD MEMBERS: 7 Aye. 8 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed? 9 (no response) 10 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 11 Before we break for lunch, was there 12 anyone else that was here that's come to speak for 13 these new cases that we have before us? 14 (no response) 15 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: That being the 16 case, what we need is a motion to break for lunch and 17 also have an executive session to discuss a legal matter. Do we have a motion for that? 18 19 MS. SULLIVAN: I'll make a motion that 20 this board enter executive session for the purposes of 21 discussing pending litigation. 2.2 MR. WORLEY: I'll second it. 23 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. We'll be 24 back in -- I forgot to take a vote. All of those in 25 favor of going into an executive session to discuss

_	
1	legal matters?
2	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
3	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?
4	(no response)
5	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries.
6	We're breaking. We'll be back at 1:30
7	if that works for everyone. Thank you.
8	(Break taken)
9	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: It's just past
10	1:36. We were in executive session. Will there be a
11	motion?
12	MR. WORLEY: I make a motion that we
13	come out of executive session.
14	MRS. LE: Second.
15	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor.
16	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
17	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?
18	(no response)
19	MS. SULLIVAN: For the record, no
20	action was taken.
21	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Right. And that
22	leads us back to Mr. Lewis. What case are we on right
23	now?
24	MR. LEWIS: 2015-074, City of Cordele,
25	districting issue. Tab Number 55 in your binders.

1 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. 2 MR. LEWIS: If the board's ready, I'll 3 proceed. 4 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We're ready. 5 MR. LEWIS: The community-activist 6 group My Brother's Keeper filed a complaint with the 7 secretary of state's office after being made aware that two electors were listed in the wrong voting 8 9 district and cast ballots in the wrong voting 10 district. 11 The Crisp County Elections Office then 12 instructed them to cast a second ballot, provisional 13 ballot, for the district in which they lived. 14 The investigation found that 74 Cordele 15 residents who lived at -- on Rainbow Drive, W 17 --16 and West 17th Avenue were placed in the incorrect 17 voting wards. Two of those Cordele residents, Ricky 18 Redding and Taniesha King, voted early in the 19 November 2015 election. They both informed poll 20 workers that they cast ballots in a ward that they did 21 not live in. 2.2 Mr. Redding voted in Ward 2 and 23 should've voted in Ward 1. Mr. Redding was allowed to 24 cast a provisional ballot in Ward 1 and it was 25 counted.

Ms. King voted as a county voter when she should've been listed as a city resident.

Ms.~King was allowed to vote a provisional ballot for the city election and it was also counted.

2.2

2.5

District lines in the City of Cordele were redrawn in 2011 based on the U.S. Census data from 2010. It remains unclear to us and I think unclear to the City of Cordele and Crisp County as to how the district lines did not get updated in 2011 based on that census information.

However, Ms. Perkins with the county elections office readily accepts responsibility.

Their office should've caught the error and taken steps to prevent future problems.

We would recommend that the City of Cordele and the Crisp County Board of Elections and Registration Office be bound over to the attorney general's office for 21-2-226(c), duties of placing in the maps and working out the maps between the city and the county, making sure all of that information was correct, and they failed to review those changes and that Becky Perkins and Crisp County Elections -- the election supervisor and the Crisp County Board of Elections and Registration be bound over for SEB Rule 183-1-12-02, conducting elections, when they allowed

two electors to cast additional ballots.

2.2

I'd put before you during the break for executive session letters that we received from Ms. Perkins, and I'll be happy to read her letter to you. As the board remembers, we had this case at the last meeting and it was undecided, the board action. It was tie between being bound over to the attorney general's office and letters of instructions.

So Ms. Perkins writes (reading): In regards to the case pending, the Office of Crisp County Elections and Registration does not plan to attend this meeting.

They were here last time.

(reading): As I recognize the laws and statutes concerning the complaint against us and value that what I've learned in conducting the first election in 2015 and about those responsibilities, I carry those lessons and learn countless others in every new election cycle. I regard the SEB proceedings with respect and I did not have anything to add from the information you have from Investigator Calhoun's (ph.) findings. I feel sure the state election board will be fair.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Well, this is
before some of the members from last year's board --

there's two of us that are new, but do the members 2 have any comments to make about this case? 3 MS. SULLIVAN: I would vote that this 4 case be bound over to the attorney general's office. 5 MR. WORLEY: And I would second that. 6 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have any 7 discussion on the motion? 8 (no response) MR. RAFFENSPERGER: 9 Okay. Hearing 10 none, all of those in favor of binding this over to 11 the attorney general's office please signify by saying 12 aye. 13 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 14 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those opposed? 15 (no response) 16 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. MR. LEWIS: Mr. Secretary, the next 17 18 case that I have is SEB 2015-087, the City of Demorest 19 from Habersham County. 2.0 In November of 2015, the election 21 supervisor of Habersham County self-reported to us 2.2 that the City of Demorest resident Ferman Stephens was 23 allowed to vote twice in the city election. 24 Mr.~Stephens voted during the early 25 voting period on October 27, 2015. On election day,

November 3rd, Mr. Stephens and his wife went to the poll where he had told the poll worker that he voted early and wanted to make sure that it counted. The poll worker looked Mr. Stephens up in the ExpressPoll and found that he was not credited with having voted in the current election.

2.2

2.5

The poll worker created a voter access card for Mr. Stephens who proceeded to vote for a second time. The elections assistant in the office, Ms. Conlon (ph.) looked up Mr. Stephens in the EasyVote program and found that he had already voted on October 25, 2015 -- 27th, excuse me, of 2015, and immediately called the election supervisor. It was determined that on November the 2nd, when Ms. Ellison updated the only two ExpressPoll machines used during the election, they did not verify that all of those were updated in the system.

We would recommend that Habersham

County Board of Elections and Registration; Laurel

Ellison, election supervisor; and Johanna Owensby,

poll worker be bound over to the attorney general's

office for violation of a board rule.

We would also recommend that Habersham County Board of Elections and Registration and Laurel Ellison, election supervisor, be bound over to the

AG's office for a different board rule and I'll read you this one: 183-1-12-.07(8) Preparation of the Electors List for the ExpressPoll, and that Ferman Stephens, elector, be bound over to the AG's office for repeat voting in the same election.

And let me just repeat the first recommendation so that it's clear. The Habersham County Board of Elections and Registration; Laurel Ellison, the election supervisor; and Ms.~Owensby, the poll worker be bound over for Board Rule 183-1-12-4 in conducting elections when she failed to manually check the paper list to determine if the voter was eligible to vote.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any comments?
Anyone on the board?
(no response)

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a

motion?

2.2

MR. WORLEY: I would make the motion -Mr. Chairman, I would make a motion that we bind over
Habersham County Board of Elections and Registration
and Laurel Ellison and Johanna Owensby for violation
of State Election Board Rule 183-1-12(4)(b) and we
bind over Habersham County Board of Elections and
Registration and Laurel Ellison for violation of State

Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.07(8) and that we bind 2 over Ferman Stephens, an elector, for a violation of O.C.G.A. 21-2-572. 3 4 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a 5 second? MRS. LE: I'll second that. 6 7 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. Any 8 discussion on the motion? 9 (no response) 10 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing none, all 11 those in favor of binding over Habersham County Board 12 of Elections and Registration, Laurel Ellison, and 13 Johanna Owensby and also Ferman Stephens, elector, to 14 the motion signify by saying aye. 15 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 16 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed? 17 (no response) 18 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 19 MS. WATSON: The next case to be 20 presented is Tab Number 62, Case Number 16-007, Pike 21 County. 2.2 In January of 2016, it was reported 23 that Pike County failed to mail a UOCAVA ballot by the 24 January 16, 2016 deadline. Pike County received the 25 application on January 15, 2016 and the voter's status was pending. Pike County erroneously believed they could not issue a UOCAVA ballot at that time.

2.2

On January 19, 2016, the registrar's office learned the voter's status was changed to active and they issued her a UOCAVA ballot. This was three days after the deadline.

On January 15, 2016, Elector Ashley
Spellman contacted the Pike County Registrars Office
about moving her voter registration back to Georgia.

Ms. Spellman was instructed to cancel her registration
in North Carolina and to fax a copy of the
cancellation document as well as the voter
registration for Georgia and a copy of her driver's
license. These documents along with an application
for an absentee ballot were forwarded on January 15,
2016. The ballot was mailed on January 19, 2016.

According to Ms. Chamblin, Ms. Spellman had been previously moved to an inactive status in Georgia and the system would not allow her to enter Ms. Spellman until she was removed from pending status which was on Tuesday, January 19, 2016.

We recommend the Pike County Board of Regis -- Registrar Ms. Sandi Chamblin, former Pike County Chief Registrar be bound over to the AG's office for 21-2-384(a)(2), mailing of ballots.

1	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, thank you
2	very much. Any questions or discussion?
3	MS. SULLIVAN: Yes, I just want to ask
4	a question.
5	Is this the same as the UOCAVA case we
6	had last time, where the 16th was the deadline and it
7	fell on a Saturday. Based on Georgia law, Monday
8	would've been the (indiscernible) day?
9	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: And the
10	MR. WILLARD: Well, actually Tuesday
11	because Monday was a state holiday
12	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Martin Luther King
13	Day.
14	MS. SULLIVAN: All right, Tuesday. Is
15	this the same type of case as the first UOCAVA case
16	being considered today?
17	MR. WILLARD: Yes, the one
18	MS. SULLIVAN: The way the dates fell
19	would prevent would prohibit this ca this
20	particular application to be processed in a timely
21	MR. WILLARD: These are remarkably
22	similar facts in the same election cycle as what the
23	board did for another one before.
24	MR. WORLEY: If that's the case, I
25	would move that we dismiss this case.

1	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: That's a motion?
2	MR. WORLEY: Yes.
3	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: A second?
4	MS. SULLIVAN: Second.
5	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any discussion?
6	(no response)
7	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Hearing no
8	discussion, all those in favor of dismissing this
9	case, 2016-007, signify by saying aye.
10	MR. WILLARD: Mr. Secretary, before you
11	take a vote, the motion is to dismiss and not issuing
12	a letter of instruction.
13	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: That's correct.
14	MS. SULLIVAN: I believe that we issued
15	a motion to dismiss in the first UOCAVA case, it would
16	be similar.
17	MR. WILLARD: No. What the first
18	letter of instruction suggests was a failure to mark
19	the election wasn't validated wasn't mailed out
20	of Paulding County and that actually got a letter of
21	instruction. I requested a letter of instruction go
22	out.
23	MS. SULLIVAN: Right.
24	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Uh-huh.
25	MS. SULLIVAN: I thought that the facts

were similar to the first case where it was the -- the 2 dates were the issue. MR. WILLARD: They're all that window 3 4 of the extended Martin Luther King weekend. 5 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: So does the 6 attorney also have a preference for a letter of 7 instruction? MR. WILLARD: I would ask the board to 8 9 consider a letter of instruction for this set of 10 facts. 11 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: So we have a 12 choice --13 MR. WORLEY: Well, I thought the 14 distinction was that it was the Election Net System 15 that caused the problem, that that was -- that was 16 true to the first case that we discussed, the case 17 that was not in Paulding County --18 MS. SULLIVAN: I would --19 MR. WORLEY: -- and that this is more 20 similar to that, the first case --21 MS. WATSON: I would ask for a point of 2.2 clarification. If Chris could, I think they were --23 Pike County was stating that they could not issue the 24 ballot because it was in a pending status. Is --25 could they have issued a UOCAVA if it was in pending

1	status? I think they were erroneous erroneously
2	believed that. So they could have issued it is the
3	is the reason why we're recommending that they be
4	bound over, is our understanding.
5	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a
6	MS. WATSON: So the ballot could have
7	been issued on on time.
8	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We have a motion
9	before us. Are we going to vote it?
10	MRS. LE: I have a question.
11	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Go ahead.
12	MRS. LE: What just from a
13	procedural processing point, if you had issued a
14	ballot with pending status and then it turns out that
15	for whatever reason it was not approved
16	MR. HARP: You can issue a provision
17	ballot provisional ballot pending status.
18	MR. WORLEY: I with I withdraw my
19	motion.
20	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion has been
21	withdrawn. Do we have another motion?
22	MR. WORLEY: Well, I would make a
23	motion that we issue a letter of instruction to Pike
24	County in this case.
25	MS. SULLIVAN: Second.

1 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Was that a second? 2 MS. SULLIVAN: Yes, it is. 3 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: I think we've talked this out. So no further discussion? 4 5 (no response) MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor 6 7 of issuing a letter of instruction signify by saying 8 aye. THE BOARD MEMBERS: 9 Aye. 10 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed? 11 (no response) 12 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. MS. WATSON: The next case is Tab 13 14 Number 65, 2016-10, Bleckley County. 15 In January of 2016, the elections 16 division reported that Bleckley County failed to mail 17 a UOCAVA ballot by the January 16, 2016 deadline. 18 Bleckley County received the application on 19 January 15, 2016. A UOCAVA ballot was mailed on 20 January 19, 2016. 21 Bleckley County's registrars office 2.2 received an electronic UOCAVA absentee ballot 23 application from Elector Jerry Maddox Woodbury (ph.) 24 on January 13, 2016. On January 14th, the absentee 25 ballot clerk Melanie Witte sent Mr. Woodbury an e-mail

because the application had failed to select a ballot style as well as to sign his complete name to match what was on file.

Due to the holiday, it delayed the mailing of Mr. Woodbury's ballot. Mr. Woodbury made the requested changes and e-mailed a second application to the registrars office, and that application was documented received on January 15, 2016. The ballot was not mailed to the elector until January 19, 2016.

We recommend Bleckley County Board of Registrars and Glennis Douglas, Chief Registrar of Bleckley County be bound over to the AG's office for 21-2-384(a)(2), mailing of ballots.

MS. SULLIVAN: I would like to ask the attorney general's recommendation prior to making any motion.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$  WILLARD: Thank you. I was going to jump in this time.

I would ask the board to consider a letter of instruction in this case. This is the same election cycle where the deadline fell on a Saturday.

MS. SULLIVAN: I'll make a motion that a letter of instruction be issued in this case.

MR. WORLEY: I'll second that.

MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Is there any 1 2 further discussion? 3 (no response) 4 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor 5 of issuing a letter of instruction in Case Number 6 2016-010, Bleckley County, please signify by saying 7 aye. THE BOARD MEMBERS: 8 Aye. 9 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed? 10 (no response) 11 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. MS. WATSON: And the next case is 12 13 Tab 66, 2016-14, Glynn County. 14 In January of 2016, Glynn County Election Supervisor Tina Edwards reported several 15 16 absentee ballots were hand-delivered to the elections 17 office during the November 3, 2015 election, but the 18 voters were not physically disabled. Investigators 19 followed-up on the ballots that were hand-delivered 20 and found that they were delivered by relatives. 21 We're recommending no violations be 22 cited due to the attorney general's opinion. 23 And during the investigation it was 24 learned that Willodeen James voted her daughter's, 25 Delphinia~(ph.) James', absentee ballot and signed her

daughter's name to the oath. Delphinia James 2 acknowledged she was out of town and requested her mother to vote the ballot and sign her name. 3 4 We're recommending that Willodeen James 5 be bound over to the AG's office for 21-2-562(a)(1), fraudulent entries and 21-2-568(a)(2), interfering 6 with an elector. 7 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have any 8 9 questions? 10 (no response) 11 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a 12 motion? MR. WORLEY: I make a motion that we 13 14 bind over Willodeen James to the attorney general's 15 office for further action. 16 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a 17 second? 18 MRS. LE: I'll second that. 19 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: I have a second. 20 Is there discussion on the motion? 21 (no response) 2.2 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Not hearing any, I 23 call the question. All those in favor of binding this 24 over to the attorney general's office as a continuing 25 motion for Case Number 2016-014, Glynn County, please

do so by signifying aye. 2 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 3 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed? 4 (no response) 5 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Motion carries. 6 MS. WATSON: The next case is Tab 68, 7 2016-052, Gwinnett County, districting issues, the seat for Georgia Senate District 5 held by Senator 8 9 Curt Thompson. 10 Senator Thompson challenged the qualifications of Artur Bumburyak to oppose Senator 11 12 Thompson in the May 24, 2016 general primary based 13 upon the opponent's eligibility for candidacy 14 regarding the residency requirement. 15 Senator Thompson contended that 16 Mr. Bumburyak has not resided in District 5 for the 17 prescribed period of one year prior to the election to 18 office. Senator Thompson filed a petition with the 19 office of state administrative hearings to challenge 20 Mr. Bumburyak's eligibility to run for state office 21 based on Mr. Bumburyak's having not resided in 2.2 District 5 for the period of one year prior to 23 election to office. 24 The hearing was scheduled for April 7, 25 2016 and Mr. Bumburyak did not appear. The judge gave

him until four on the date of the hearing to provide 2 documentation that he met residency requirements and 3 no documentation was provided. 4 The OSAH judge, Michael Malihi, deemed 5 Mr.~Bumburyak was not qualified to be a candidate for 6 the District 5 seat due to his failing to establish 7 eligibility. The address provided in the affidavit and declaration of candidacy was 2156 Old Camp Circle, 8 9 however the property records show the property owned 10 by Mr. Bumburyak to be 2176 Old Camp Circle which is in District 55, not District 5. 11 12 We recommend that Artur N. Bumburyak be 13 bound over to the AG's office for 21-2-565(a), making 14 of false statements, and dismiss the -- a 15 recommendation of 21-2-5(a), qualification of 16 candidates, as that was handled at the OSAH hearing. 17 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay, thank you 18 very much. Any questions? 19 (no response) 20 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have a 21 motion? 2.2 MRS. LE: I have a question. 23 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Okay. Mrs. Le. 24 MRS. LE: So is there -- Mr. Bumburyak 25 stated that he wasn't aware, he was confused. Or did

he know that -- I think 565(a) -- 21-2-565(a) talks 2 about "knowingly." Do you have any more facts as to 3 that, other than that he stated he wasn't aware, he 4 was confused? 5 MS. WATSON: I don't -- let me check 6 the file so I can make sure that we have the -- I'm not sure that he provided us with a statement 7 8 (reviewing). 9 It does state that he -- he stated he 10 was confused at the time of his filing, that he believed his residence to be in Senate District 5 when 11 12 in actuality the residence was in District 55. 13 MRS. LE: Though these districts are 14 nine miles apart? 15 MS. WATSON: Yes. 16 MS. SULLIVAN: And the respondent was given notice that he could attend this meeting today? 17 18 MS. WATSON: Yes. 19 MS. SULLIVAN: I'll make a motion that 20 we bind over -- is this appropriate for a motion? 21 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Yes, it is for a 2.2 motion. 23 MS. SULLIVAN: We bind over this case 24 for (indiscernible) the violation of 21-2-565(a) and 2.5 dismiss the other potential violation regarding

	ll .
1	21-2-5(a).
2	MS. WATSON: That
3	MS. SULLIVAN: Is that the
4	recommendation?
5	MS. WATSON: It
6	MS. SULLIVAN: The other way around
7	then. Let me restate the motion. Sorry, I got
8	confused on my statutory references. So bind over in
9	the case on 21-2-5(a) and dismiss the allegation
10	regarding 21-2-565(a). Is that correct?
11	MS. WATSON: Your recommendation is to
12	bind over 21-2-565(a) and not 21-2-5(a).
13	MS. SULLIVAN: Oh, that was what I said
14	the first time. That's what I said the first time. I
15	renew my initial motion.
16	MR. WORLEY: Second.
17	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Second. We have a
18	second. Is there any discussion on the motion?
19	(no response)
20	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Not hearing any,
21	all those in favor of the motion before us for
22	2016-052 signify by saying aye.
23	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
24	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any of those that
25	are opposed?
	II

1 (no response) MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Not hearing any, 2 the motion carries. Okay. 3 4 We are now ready for the attorney 5 general reports, and four cases in consent orders and two in dismissals. 6 7 Does the attorney general want to add anything to these cases before we --8 MR. WILLARD: If the board has any 9 10 questions, I'll be happy to respond, but otherwise I'd 11 ask you to enforce the order. 12 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we want to pull 13 any of these cases or move forward with them all on 14 the block? 15 (no response) 16 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: On the block, do we 17 have a motion? 18 MS. SULLIVAN: I'll move we accept the 19 attorney general reports on the consent orders listed: 20 2014-30, 2014-79, 2015-72, 2015-82, and accept the 21 recommendation of dismissals in case number 2016-77 2.2 and 2014-49. MR. WORLEY: I'll second that motion. 23 24 MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Do we have any 25 discussion?

1	(no response)
2	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Not hearing any,
3	all those in favor of the motion that's before you
4	please vote aye.
5	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
6	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Any opposed?
7	(no response)
8	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Not hearing any,
9	there's a motion that motion carries.
10	MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you.
11	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Yes.
12	Okay. I don't believe there's any
13	other business before us today. That being the case,
14	do we have a motion to adjourn?
15	MR. WORLEY: So moved.
16	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: Is there a second?
17	MRS. LE: Second.
18	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: All those in favor?
19	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
20	MR. RAFFENSPERGER: We are adjourned.
21	Thank you very much for being here today. Thank you,
22	fellow board members. I appreciate all the help you
23	have given us in this first (indiscernible).
24	(Adjourned at 1:57 p.m.)
25	

## CERTIFICATE

STATE OF GEORGIA COUNTY OF DEKALB

)

I, Mary K. McMahan, Certified

Court Reporter in and for the State of Georgia at

large, certify that the foregoing pages, 4 through

176, line number 24, constitute, to the best of my

ability, a complete and accurate transcription of the

proceedings and were accurately reported and

transcribed by me or under my direction.

I further certify that I am neither related to or counsel to any of the parties herein; nor have any interest in the outcome of the above-styled proceedings.

This certification is expressly denied upon the disassembly and/or photocopying of the foregoing transcript, or any portion thereof, unless such disassembly/photocopying is done by the undersigned and original signature and official seal are attached thereon.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{WITNESS}}$$  my electronic signature this the 2nd of July, 2019.

\*\*Mary K McMahan\*\*

Mary K. McMahan Certificate No. 2757